

Low Cycle Fatigue of Composite Materials in Army Structural Applications: A Review of Literature and Recommendations for Research

by Vasyl M. Harik, Bruce K. Fink, Travis A. Bogetti, J. Robert Klinger, and John W. Gillespie, Jr.

ARL-TR-2242 June 2000

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

20000727 291

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL-TR-2242

June 2000

Low Cycle Fatigue of Composite Materials in Army Structural Applications: A Review of Literature and Recommendations for Research

Vasyl M. Harik, Bruce K. Fink, Travis A. Bogetti, and J. Robert Klinger Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

John W. Gillespie, Jr. Center for Composite Materials, University of Delaware

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Abstract

Low cycle fatigue (LCF) of laminate composite structures used in Army applications is assessed to identify the key physical phenomena occurring during LCF processes and to determine their main characteristics. Special attention is given to the LCF conditions inherent in Army structures (i.e., high cyclic or pulse loads reaching up to 90% of the ultimate strength in a fraction of a second). A summary of fatigue-related issues in laminate composites employed in Army land combat systems is presented. Analysis indicates that finite strain rate effects are important under LCF conditions and the pulse vibration fatigue (PVF). Fatigue damage mechanisms, evolution patterns of damage, and damage accumulation processes are singled out and thoroughly analyzed as the key mechanical phenomena contributing to the changes in the material damage state and the property degradation under fatigue conditions. Possible correlation between ballistic and LCF performance is discussed. Various models for damage accumulation and fatigue life predictions are reviewed. Recommendations for fundamental research in the areas relevant to the LCF of composite structures are included to establish a conceptual framework for the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) LCF Program.

Acknowledgments

Dr. V. M. Harik is supported by a National Research Fellowship awarded by the American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE) and the Postdoctoral Research Program at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD. The following researchers are gratefully acknowledged for useful discussions: Professors K. L. Reifsnider (Virginia Tech); R. Talreja (Georgia Tech); J. Lambros and G. Palmese (University of Delaware); Drs. T. K. O'Brien (ARL-NASA Langley); C. P. R. Hoppel, S. H. McKnight, and J. Tzeng (ARL); and A. Paesano (University of Delaware).

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
	Acknowledgments	iii
1.	Introduction	
2.	LCF-Related Issues in Army Applications	2
2.1	Design for Fatigue Performance	3
2.1.1 2.1.2	Fatigue Simulation Codes for DesignRecommendations for Fatigue Design	4
2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2	LCF Behavior of Composites	7 7
2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2	LCF of Thick-Section Composites	8 9
2.4 2.4.1 2.4.2	Optimization of Interphases for LCF Performance Fracture Toughness of Fiber/Matrix Interfaces Recommendations for Studies of Interphases	. 11 . 11
2.5 2.5.1 2.5.2	Fatigue of Adhesive Joints in Composite Structures Repair of Composite Structures Recommendations for Studies of Adhesive Joints	. 13 . 14
2.6 2.6.1 2.6.2	Environmental Effects on Army Systems	. 14
3.	Fatigue Damage Mechanisms: Structural Effects	. 15
3.1	Fatigue Damage in Unidirectional Laminated Composites	
3.1.1 3.1.2	Damage Caused by Axial Fatigue Loading Damage Caused by Off-Axis Fatigue Loading	. 17 . 18
3.2 3.3	Damage in Cross-Ply Laminates Damage in Woven Fiber Composites	. 19
4.	Modeling of Damage Accumulation	. 21
4.1 4.2	An Initiatial Damage State of Composites	23
4.3 4.4	Micromechanical Analysis of Voids	25
4.5	Continuum Damage Mechanics of Multiple Cracks and Voids Fatigue Life Prediction	
5.		
5.1	Micromechanical Fatigue Models	
5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2	Phenomenological Fatigue Models	30

		<u>Page</u>
6.	Recommendations for ARL LCF Program	32
6.1	Recommendations for Design-for-Fatigue Research	
6.2	Recommendations for the LCF Characterization	34
6.3	Recommendations for Investigation of Thick-Section Composites	34
6.4	Recommendations for Studies of Interphasial Effects on LCF	35
6.5	Recommendations for Studies of Adhesive Joints	36
6.6	Recommendations for Investigation of Environmental Effects	37
7.	References	39
	Appendix: Material Performance Simulation Code MRLife	57
	Distribution List	65
	Report Documentation Page	85

1. Introduction

Advances in composite manufacturing technology lead to significant improvements in the mechanical properties of composite materials used in defense industries. Better control of processing defects, reduction of void content, and optimization of interphase properties improve structural performance of composites and yield higher strength-to-weight ratios, stiffness, and fracture toughness. However, multifunctional hybrid composite materials with further enhanced properties must be developed to meet the unprecedented needs of Army land combat systems for speed and mobility without sacrificing ballistic protection (Burns 1998).

The newly developing hybrid composite structures consist of a number of dissimilar materials, which themselves represent complex composite systems. The mechanical properties of novel hybrid composites are optimized by designing and tailoring various types of interphases at the molecular and nanostructural level. The properties of polymers adjacent to solid surfaces can be significantly perturbed, which can result in dramatic changes in bulk composite material behavior (Palmese and McCullough 1994; Fink and McCullough 1999; VanLandingham et al. 1999). New materials with functionally graded properties can be used to achieve optimal structural performance of the designed hybrid systems (Suresh and Mortensen 1998). It is imperative that such advanced composite structures possess superior mechanical properties over a long period of service life in harsh environmental conditions. The full potential of composites as advanced materials can be realized only if the deterioration of long-term material properties can be properly understood and controlled. Poor fatigue performance may significantly reduce the weight advantage of many composites (Reifsnider 1991; Talreja 1993). This is especially important for the Army systems subjected to the low cycle fatigue (LCF) conditions (i.e., high cyclic or pulse loads reaching up to 90-95% of the ultimate strength in a fraction of a second).

In addition to novel design strategies, the modeling of composite material structures must be enhanced to enable cost-effective design practices. New modeling capabilities rooted into fundamental research (Talreja 1987, 1996; Reifsnider 1991; Case and Reifsnider 1998) are especially needed for simulation of the high-load LCF environment inherent in many Army land combat systems. To extend the life cycle of new hybrid structures, the deterioration of composite properties such as strength, toughness, durability, and impact resistance must be understood and controlled. The advanced modeling capabilities, when integrated with appropriate design philosophies and methodologies, will result in significant performance improvements of vehicles, ordnance, and other Army polymer composite structures.

The purpose of this report is to assess the material issues affecting the fatigue behavior of various composite structures used in Army applications and to identify the key physical phenomena present in fatigue processes and their main characteristics. Special attention is given to the effects of LCF conditions inherent in Army systems. A summary of fatigue-related issues in laminate composites used in Army applications is presented in section 2. Following Talreja (1987) and Reifsnider (1991), the fatigue damage mechanisms and the kinetics of fatigue damage accumulation processes are singled out as the key mechanical processes controlling the property degradation rates under fatigue conditions (section 3). Various models for damage accumulation and fatigue life predictions are reviewed in sections 4 and 5, respectively. General recommendations for fundamental research in the areas relevant to the LCF of composite structures are included in section 2 and summarized in section 6. The literature review is not intended to be exhaustive. This report highlights the main issues and trends in the fatigue research, which are potentially useful for improved understanding of the LCF performance of Army land combat structures.

2. LCF-Related Issues in Army Applications

Many composite structures used in Army applications are subjected to severe fatigue loading conditions and harsh environment over long periods of time. Their fatigue life and performance depend on their fatigue damage tolerance and the rates of property degradation under cyclic or pulse loads. The LCF conditions occur when an Army land combat system is used under high loads that may be as high as 90-95% of the ultimate strength (section 2.2). Such high values of loading are reached in a fraction of a second. The low cycle and high cycle (i.e., lower load level) fatigue have common damage mechanisms and similar property degradation mechanisms. Both LCF and high cycle fatigue (HCF) conditions are relevant to the following examples of Army applications:

- composite armored vehicles (e.g., Crusader, Scout, vehicle shock absorption systems, and next generation vehicles [Davila, Chen, and Baker 1998]);
- composite assault bridge and future line-of-communication bridging;
- weapon systems (e.g., Howitzer trails, mortar base plate, electromagnetic gun components,
 Crusader cannon, gun tube overwraps, etc. [Tzeng 1999]);
- rotorcraft systems (e.g., Comanche, Apache, etc. [O'Brien et al. 1998]);

• lightweight personnel protection systems.

In the following section, the material issues relevant to fatigue performance of composite structures used in various Army land combat systems are discussed. First, examples of design requirements for fatigue performance in several Army applications are discussed in section 2.1. A brief review of existing fatigue analysis codes and complementary analysis tools, which can be used for structural and microstructural design optimization, is also presented in section 2.1. Most common industrial applications of LCF models are highlighted in section 2.2. Fatigue issues in Army applications involving the thick-section composite are analyzed in section 2.3. The role of interphases and adhesive joints on fatigue performance is discussed in sections 2.4 and 2.5, respectively. Environmental effects on Army land combat systems are reviewed in section 2.6. Recommendations for fundamental research in the areas critical for understanding the LCF phenomena are included.

2.1 Design for Fatigue Performance. The fatigue performance characteristics of the composite materials used are required for finding the optimal design solutions for numerous Army structures. The following sections highlight software solutions that can be used to meet the design and fatigue analysis needs. For design of Army systems, special attention is given to the effects of high loads on the thick-section composite structures in the LCF conditions. Postrepair performance of new hybrid composites is also evaluated to assess the retained ballistic protection capabilities.

Knowledge of the property degradation rates is especially important for the design of systems in which fatigue performance is critical. The design requirements for fatigue performance vary from one Army system to another. For instance,

- the composite base plate of a mortar should withstand the maximum pressure of about 16 ksi for at least 3,000 service cycles,
- the composite barrel of a mortar is designed for a similar range of pressures but for 10⁴ fatigue loading cycles,
- the composite compulsator for an electromagnetic gun is design to be in service for about 10⁴ loading cycles (in service, the rotating gun compulsator experiences strain rates between 1 and 10 (in/in s) while it decelerates; the peak banding stress is calculated to be 155 ksi for the maximum rotor tip speed of 400 m/s), and
- the howitzer trails are designed for 5,000 effective full charges under maximum loads.

To address the Army after next (AAN) goals, most of the aforementioned design requirements should be doubled or the weight of structures should be halved.

Most of the design decisions are currently based on the static mechanical properties of composite materials and simple relations for fatigue performance. Such phenomenological criteria are predominantly based on experimental data and have limited predictive capabilities. Limitations of current fatigue life prediction models for composites force large factors of safety for the designed structures. The associated deficiencies in the design-for-fatigue methodologies lead to heavier "overdesigned" structures that tend to be more costly than necessary.

2.1.1 Fatigue Simulation Codes for Design. The MRLife simulation code (Case and Reifsnider 1998) is developed for the simulation of performance and fatigue life prediction of composite laminates. MRLife is suited for fatigue analysis of critical material elements in a wide variety of problems involving polymer matrix systems (see Appendix). Such problems include delamination and failure of notched and unnotched materials with or without moisture diffusion. The pointwise effects caused by thermal loads, creep, stress relaxation, and aging can also be accounted for in the MRLife's fatigue analysis of material elements. The LCF behavior of polymer matrix composites (PMCs) can be also predicted by using the MRLife fatigue performance simulation code.

Engineering Mechanics Technology, Inc. (Harris and Dedhia 1997) has developed two codes, Smart Crack and Non-Linear Smart Crack, for the linear and nonlinear analysis of fatigue crack propagation in metals. The linear analysis is based on linear elastic fracture mechanics and use of the mode I stress intensity factor for characterization of the near-crack-tip conditions. In the nonlinear analysis, the plasticity is described by the Ramberg-Osgood constitutive relation. The creep is simulated by a power law relation. The capability of the metal-oriented codes to perform fatigue analysis of composite structures is obviously limited.

The impact of various microstructures on macroscopic behavior of composites can be analyzed by using the theory of homogenization (Ericksen 1986) or "smearing-unsmearing" methodology (Chou, Carleone, and Hsu 1972). This methodology is implemented in a software program called LAMPAT, which was developed by Bogetti, Hoppel, and Burns (1995), for structural analysis and design of thick-section laminated composite structures. The code LAMPAT is intended to be used in conjunction with typical commercial finite element analysis (FEA) codes. At the preprocessing stage, LAMPAT is utilized to generate the effective, homogeneous, three-dimensional properties of a laminate, which are used as input for an FEA

code. It may be possible to link the LAMPAT capabilities with a fatigue performance simulation code (see Appendix).

For the structural design and stress analysis purposes, LAMPAT can be employed as a postprocessing tool. It can conduct a detailed ply-level-based failure assessment of a composite structure. The failure assessment is based on a wide variety of lamina failure criteria. The results can be portrayed graphically by PATRAN (PDA Engineering) in order to visualize the critically loaded regions within a structure. A postprocessing program with similar capabilities has been developed by Harik (1997) to carry out complementary analyses of stress and strain distributions obtained from the FEA of composite structures performed by the FEA commercial code ABAQUS (Hibbitt, Karlsson and Sorensen Inc). The program is called Post-ABAQUS, as it is designed to extend the postprocessing capabilities of the commercial code ABAQUS-POST. These postprocessing programs can be supplemented with simple S-N relations (i.e., stress level vs. number of cycles) for the basic fatigue analysis.

Another post-FEA program, called MSC/FATIGUE, has been developed by MacNeal-Schwendler Co. It offers new simulation capabilities for the effects of random vibrations on material properties. The fatigue life predictions are based on frequency response and the random vibration FEA techniques. The program is especially useful for the design of electronic components, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), wind turbines, and various components in engines.

2.1.2 Recommendations for Fatigue Design. Development of new design methodologies that would include the assessment of fatigue performance is closely linked with the development of new fatigue modeling capabilities and associated design strategies. The short-term design requirements can be met by experimental characterization of specific composite materials (i.e., S-N curves, residual strength analysis, stiffness degradation rates, etc.). The experimental characterization should be preceded by thorough stress analysis of the composite structure involved in order to determine the critical structural elements, the types of critical loads, and expected damage and failure modes.

The experimental data can be used to develop simple fatigue life prediction models for specific composite structures. It should be noted that such models are phenomenological in nature and are limited to specific groups of composite materials and types of loading under consideration (see section 5.2). Phenomenological fatigue models can be linked with LAMPAT's structural analysis capabilities (Bogetti, Hoppel, and Burns 1995). The corresponding design-for-fatigue strategies may also include other postprocessing software tools.

In order to meet the long-term design requirements and carry out multilevel structural optimization analyses, one needs to overcome the limitations of simple phenomenological models and acquire advanced modeling capabilities for fatigue performance assessment. To avoid excessive duplication on one hand and accelerate the progress in the design-for-fatigue efforts on the other hand, it is important to consider and evaluate current state-of-the-art fatigue simulation technologies. The MRLife simulation code (see Appendix) is suited for fatigue performance analysis of a wide variety of polymer and ceramic composite systems. The MRLife code may simulate delamination and failure of notched and unnotched materials with or without moisture diffusion. The effects caused by thermal loads, creep, stress relaxation, and aging can also be accounted for in MRLife's fatigue analysis. The LCF modeling capabilities of the MRLife code can be validated via selected benchmark experiments.

The modeling capabilities of the MRLife fatigue performance simulation code can be linked with the structural analysis capabilities of commercial FEA codes (e.g., ANSYS, ABAQUS, etc.). The postprocessing software programs like LAMPAT can be incorporated into the design-for-fatigue strategies for complementary stress and strain analyses. Development of more robust methodologies for the assessment of long-term properties should result in better design strategies and more efficient use of composite materials in weight-critical applications.

- 2.2 LCF Behavior of Composites. LCF is a critical loading condition for many Army land combat composite systems and is not well understood. The unique features of LCF effects on hybrid composite systems have not been studied in any great detail. Most of publications on the LCF involve either metals, metal alloys, or, in some cases, metal matrix composites (Coffin 1969; Solomon et al. 1987; Rie and Portella 1998). Corresponding applications include:
- gas turbine and engine components made of titanium alloys for high-temperature creep-fatigue conditions (e.g., compressor discs, the disc rim, rotor blades, etc.),
- pressure vessels in power industries (e.g., cast-iron combustion chambers, steel pipes and tubes, etc.),
- multicycle forming operations (e.g., forging, rolling and drawing),
- defense applications (helicopter gears, shock absorbers, etc.), and
- structural applications in construction industry (Barnes 1990).

The LCF of PMCs or thick-section hybrid structures has received little attention. Walrath and Adams (1980) examined the LCF range for the pure epoxy resin during standard fatigue characterization tests in late 1970s. Other researchers have also reached the LCF loads in some of their fatigue experiments with PMCs; however, the unique features of the LCF range have not been identified (Mandell 1981; Barnes 1990; Reifsnider 1991; Case and Reifsnider 1998). Chaphalkar (1998) and Harik et al. (1999) are among few researchers who have investigated the effects of high LCF loads on thick-section PMCs in work recently performed under the Armysponsored Tuskegee Research Consortium and ARL Postdoctoral Research Program.

2.2.1 Basic Physical Phenomena Relevant to the LCF Studies. LCF conditions are characterized by the high loads applied to a material over a fraction of a second and then repeated for a finite number of loading cycles. The corresponding strain rates may vary between 1 and 10 in/in-s, or even more than 100 in/in-s for some weapon systems. Since the LCF loads may reach 90-95% of the static ultimate strength, the material behavior of the composite's constituents may no longer be regarded as linear. Indeed, when the strains exceed 4-5%, the matrix material and the interphase undergo finite deformation, while fibers may experience interfacial debonding and fracture. The strain rates and nonlinear deformation encountered under a LCF loading are not as high as those seen during a ballistic impact. However, it is plausible that the material degradation mechanisms and material properties affecting the LCF performance of composites are similar to those determining the ballistic performance of Army combat composite systems. The extent of possible correlation between the LCF and ballistic performance of composite materials is not known.

Section 3 presents a review of typical fatigue damage mechanisms that are activated in composites having different microstructures. The effect of LCF conditions on typical damage mechanisms needs to be thoroughly examined. Patterns of damage evolution in the laminates with typical structures (e.g., unidirectional, cross-ply, and woven fiber composites) are also discussed in section 3. Classification of different stages in the damage accumulation processes is presented in section 4. A summary of the damage accumulation models and modeling approaches is included in section 5. The effects of LCF conditions on damage accumulation processes and the property degradation rates need to be evaluated.

2.2.2 Recommendations for LCF Studies. More fundamental research is needed in the area of modeling and characterization of polymer composite materials subjected to low-cycle or pulse-vibration fatigue (PVF). The need to develop a physical understanding of the mechanisms and phenomena associated with fatigue-induced failure in PMCs is especially important. The effects of LCF conditions on typical damage mechanisms and the extent of plastic deformation (Hill

1950; Drucker 1967) can be evaluated by thorough experimental testing and detailed nondestructive monitoring of fatigue damage accumulation processes (Sasaki 1997). Comparative analysis of material degradation mechanisms present during LCF and ballistic loadings is required in order to determine the extent of possible correlation between the LCF and ballistic performance of composite structures in Army land combat applications. Experimental characterization is also needed for the development of novel LCF/PVF models and realistic service life prediction of thick-section composites in various Army systems. More accurate service life prediction capability for Army structures under LCF conditions would enable optimization for performance and cost.

2.3 LCF of Thick-Section Composites. The LCF behavior of thick-section composites is of special interest to Army applications. Multi-ply composites are part of integral armor composite systems. Advances in integral armor and other complex composite systems depend on a thorough understanding of possible failure mechanisms. Although, mechanics of anisotropic plates (Lekhnitskii 1968) and layered materials (Chou, Carleone, and Hsu 1972; Christensen 1979; Ericksen 1986) has been vigorously investigated, general fatigue behavior and LCF of thick-section composites have received little attention to date (Solomon and Halford 1987; Rie and Portella 1998). LCF behavior of homogeneous thick-section composites must be understood before LCF of their heterogeneous hybrid counterparts can be addressed. A review of typical mechanisms of fatigue damage accumulation is presented in section 3. In particular, the dependence of patterns of damage evolution on different microstructures is analyzed. Understanding the fatigue damage mechanisms and their interaction with overall mechanical response is needed to adequately model and predict LCF behavior.

2.3.1 LCF Testing of Thick-Section Composites. LCF performance of multilayer composites has not been studied in any great detail either analytically or experimentally. Fatigue behavior of composite materials is typically evaluated by experiments involving either tension-tension or tension-compression loading. Even for non-hybrid thick-section composites, sufficient load transfer between tensile grips and the specimen is difficult to achieve (Bakis and Stinchcomb 1986; Chaphalkar 1998). During flexural fatigue, which is relevant to the thick-section integral armor applications, both compressive and tensile loads are present. Bending fixtures are sufficiently robust for LCF testing of thick-section hybrid composite beams.

Composite materials with toughened matrices usually have better fatigue performance. The mechanisms of toughening in PMCs include interfacial debonding and interfacial void formation around rubber or thermoplastic particles, plastic shear localization, and dissipation of energy through viscoelastic relaxation. Viscoelastic relaxation is accompanied by a temperature rise;

because the polymer matrix is a poor thermal conductor, the dissipation of heat is slow and local temperature increases (Joseph 1990). Shen, Chen and Sauer (1983) demonstrated that at higher stresses hysteretic heating is quite extensive in the specimens of cast poly-methyl methacrylate subjected to tension-compression fatigue. As a result, so-called hot spots, extensive plastic deformation and voids are possible in PMCs. Thus, premature catastrophic failure of a composite structure can result.

Fundamental research is required to determine the role of localized heating and viscoelastic interfaces (Holmes et al. 1999) in the failure of composites under LCF conditions. Development of robust numerical techniques for viscoelastic models with geometric nonlinearities is especially important (Harik 1997; Harik and Cairncross 1999). A better understanding of the viscoelastic failure mechanisms will allow for the improved ability to predict catastrophic failures of composites under fatigue through computer modeling.

2.3.2 Recommendations for Studies of Thick-Section Composites. The load transfer problems commonly encountered during tension and compression testing of thick-section composites are easily avoided in flexural fatigue testing. Flexural fatigue tests can be carried out by using a three-point or a four-point bending fixture scaled up from existing testing fixtures (Whitney, Daniel, and Pipes 1984; Carlsson and Gillespie 1990). Recent advances in nonintrusive techniques for nondestructive testing allow systematic analysis of fatigue damage accumulation within the specimen being tested. Such techniques include x-rays, x-ray-based computed tomography, video-imaging, acoustic wave scattering, infrared thermal imaging, and embedded fiber optics (Carlsson and Gillespie 1990; Fink and Corona-Bittick 1999; Flores et al. 1998). Material damage assessment prior to, during, and following fatigue tests can enable greater understanding of material's fatigue behavior and damage accumulation processes. From this information, possible deviations from typical damage mechanisms can be evaluated and understanding of fatigue performance of the thick-section composites can be advanced.

Comprehensive analysis of the fatigue damage data (e.g., damage location, type, shape, size, and evolution patterns [Talreja 1993; Sasaki 1997]) and gross experimental measurements of macroscopic mechanical properties (e.g., stiffness, residual strength) can provide the physical foundation for future analytical and finite element fatigue models. Once the fatigue behavior of homogeneous multi-ply composites is more fully understood, the fatigue of heterogeneous hybrid composites can be analyzed by more sophisticated fatigue models. It should be noted that the hybrid composites possess layers with significant differences in stiffnesses that would lead to the deformations violating the basic assumptions used in classical bending analyses.

Ultimately, a more complete understanding of fatigue damage mechanisms in thick-section and integrated composites will be invaluable to advancing the applicability of composites in the Army systems. The resulting knowledge incorporated into useful models should yield reliable predictions of the fatigue life of composite structural components subjected to various fatigue conditions. It will enable life-cycle design of thick-section composite Army structures subjected to LCF.

2.4 Optimization of Interphases for LCF Performance. A primary concern for composites being used under LCF conditions, which involve high loads, is premature failure. Thick-section composites typically fail at stresses and strains that are well below the expected failure limits. This early failure is often attributed to the existence of critically sized processing and/or material defects and interfacial problems in the interphase region between the matrix and the reinforcing phase (Drzal 1983, 1986; Sottos 1990; Palmese 1992; Skourlis 1995; Hrivnak 1996; Harik 1997; VanLandingham 1997; Fink and McCullough 1999). Evaluation of interphasial mechanical properties can be carried out experimentally (Sottos 1990; VanLandingham 1997) or theoretically (Palmese 1992; Chu and Rokhlin 1996). Modification of either the polymer matrix or the reinforcing fibers to improve the adhesion between the material components has proven to be a key in the optimization of performance of various composite structures. Such optimization should be based on rapidly developing interfacial mechanics of fiber-reinforced materials (Clyne and Watson 1991; Harik 1997; Harik and Lambros, to be published).

The extent of the interphase region in composites is significant (Hughes 1991). For instance, a 1 cm³ of a composite is filled with a fiber volume content of 60% and contains as many as 3 million single filaments. The total area of the fiber surface is 3,400 cm². As a result, the matrix and its ability to adhere to a fiber are paramount to the effective transfer of the mechanical load in the composite (Erikson and Plueddemann 1974; Drzal 1983, 1986; Fishman 1991; Piggott 1991). The interface between matrix and fiber has many commonalties with the interfacial region in laminated systems (O'Brien 1991). In both cases, a large surface area plays a direct role in the load transfer from the matrix to the reinforcing constituent. Stinchcomb and Reifsnider (1975) emphasized that "the way the interface interacts with the matrix and with the fibers is quite important in determining fatigue damage initiation in composite materials." Reifsnider (1994), Subramanian, Reifsnider, and Stinchcomb (1995), and Subramanian et al. (1996) demonstrated that the fiber-matrix interphase can significantly affect the mechanical properties and fatigue behavior of composites.

The fiber-matrix interphases (Drzal 1983; Hughes 1991; Palmese 1992; Sottos, Hiemstra, and Scott 1994; Hrivnak 1996; Fink and McCullough 1999) are known to affect the local material

properties (Skourlis 1995; VanLandingham 1997), stress distribution (Sottos 1990; Cervenka 1995; Kharik 1997), interphasial deformation (Grabovsky and Kohn 1995; Harik and Lambros, to be published) and nucleation of interfacial cracks (Harik 1997), which may interact with transverse cracks (Bailey and Parvizi 1981) and affect the fatigue behavior (Reifsnider 1994; Lesko, Rau, and Riffle 1995). Reinforcing fibers and particles themselves may serve as stress raisers and lead to interfacial cracking (Eshelby 1957). Fiber-matrix debonding and cracks may significantly reduce the load transfer between matrix and the fibers and cause cracking in composites (Sottos, Li, and Agrawal 1994; Budiansky, Hutchinson, and Slutsky 1995). Interfacial damage (Keer, Dundurs, and Kiattikomol 1973; Hashin 1991; Pan, Green, and Hellman 1996) or material inhomogeneity of interphases also affects the elastic properties of composites (Jasiuk and Kouider 1993; Lagache et al. 1994; Low et al. 1995; Theocaris and Demakos 1995; Lutz and Zimmerman 1996), the residual stresses (Jayaraman and Reifsnider 1993), and their macroscopic behavior (Tsai, Arocho, and Gause 1990; Kharik 1997; Kim and Mai 1991, 1998).

2.4.1 Fracture Toughness of Fiber/Matrix Interfaces. In understanding failure at the interface, one must closely examine the polymer matrix and its interaction with the interfacial surfaces (Wool 1995; Hrivnak 1996). It has been known that surface treatments can improve the interfacial bond strength in fiber-reinforced composites (Shorthall and Yip 1976). Recently, research on polymer films demonstrated that a polymer chain adapts to the presence of an interface so the physical properties of the polymer films are affected (Drzal 1986; Palmese and McCullough 1994; Skourlis 1995; Fink and McCullough 1999; VanLandingham et al. 1999). Such phenomena may lead to reduced glass transition temperatures, increased diffusion rates, and nonuniform curing (Palmese 1992; Skourlis 1995). In a thermoplastic polymer, such as polystyrene, the surface has a glass transition temperature that is significantly lower than that for the bulk material (Skourlis 1995).

Lesko, Rau, and Riffle (1995) investigated fatigue performance of a woven carbon/vinyl ester composite that had carbon fibers sized with the thermoplastic coating. The sizing considered led to an improved bond between the matrix and fibers. This resulted in better fatigue durability of the composite with thermoplastic interphase in relation to the composites with unsized carbon fibers. Oyama et al. (1996) show that the interdiffusion at the interface between the poly-vinyl pyrollidone sizing considered by Lesko, Rau, and Riffle (1995) and the vinyl ester resin is easily facilitated. This leads to an order of magnitude larger interphasial thickness, which allows greater energy absorption by the interphase.

2.4.2 Recommendations for Studies of Interphases. The LCF performance of composite structures can be affected by improving energy-absorbing capabilities of the fiber/matrix

interphase (DiAnselmo, Accorsi, DiBenedetto 1992; Kim and Mai 1998). Subramanian et al. (1995, 1996) demonstrated that the interphasial properties may influence the fatigue behavior of composites. The energy absorption mechanisms such as fiber-matrix debonding, interfacial void growth, fiber push-out, or frictional fiber sliding (Kim and Mai 1998) should be extensively investigated. A new test apparatus called Dynamic Interphase-Loading Apparatus (DILA), developed by Tanoglu et al. (to be published), can be employed to characterize the fiber-matrix interphase properties around an individual fiber under various strain rates. This knowledge should also contribute to the understanding of the ballistic performance of composite structures, develop new methodology for experimental characterization of interphase mechanical properties (Wang and Chiang 1996), and advance the physical understanding of various interfacial phenomena (Verpoest and Jones 1991).

The AAN armor systems may benefit from the hybrid composite structures involving glass and carbon fibers. Such composite systems would have high durability and corrosion resistance due to glass fibers and superior specific stiffness and strength due to the carbon fiber reinforcement (Mahfuz et al. 1995, 1996). It is known that glass fiber/vinyl ester composites have good static and long-term fatigue durability. The majority of commercially available carbon fiber tows are not sized for optimal chemical compatibility with the vinyl ester resin. Therefore, the adequacy of the strength and fatigue performance of composites with the carbon fiber/vinyl-ester interphases is uncertain. The fatigue characterization of vinyl-ester systems is also important because of advances in new co-injection resin transfer molding (CIRTM) technology involving vinyl-ester resin (Fink, McKnight, and Gillespie 1998; Fink and Gillespie 1999).

2.5 Fatigue of Adhesive Joints in Composite Structures. Composite integral armor can be viewed simplistically as a composite system of five basic subsystems that are connected by adhesive bonds. The composite subsystems include a thin graphite/epoxy or glass/epoxy face sheet, a ceramic tile for ballistic protection, a rubber layer to mitigate damage to the composite backing during initial fracture of the ceramic, and a thick composite layer for structural and ballistic performance. Each layer has very distinctive mechanical properties that induce unique stress distributions in the adhesive layers. The prevalent loading modes are compression and flexure (Davila, Chen, and Baker 1998). The integrity of adhesive joints and interfaces must be maintained under severe fatigue loading in order to retain the ballistic performance. It is important before and after a ballistic impact and after consequent repairs. Appropriate analysis can be carried out with analytical models that take into account the effect of inclusions on the mechanical response of plates subjected to poit loads.

The adhesion of the interphases between the constituent materials strongly affects ballistic performance, damage tolerance, and long-term durability. Modification of these interfaces could change the modes of failure and energy-absorption characteristics. To optimize the ballistic performance, it is important to isolate the effect of high-strain-rate loading in the adhesive bondline. The interfaces between dissimilar materials in hybrid composite structures (Delale and Erdogan 1988) have many common features with the interphase between the matrix and a fiber. Indeed, both cases involve large surface areas that play an important role in the load transfer from one constituent material to another. Henceforth, the fatigue performance studies of both types of interphases can be linked together. New processing techniques, such as CIRTM (Fink, McKnight, and Gillespie 1998; Fink and Gillespie 1999) that has been shown to provide improved ballistic properties in composite armor and create diffused interphases between dissimilar resin layers in a composite structure (Fink, McKnight, and Gillespie 1998).

2.5.1 Repair of Composite Structures. A ballistic impact of a composite armor structure induces multiple cracks and delamination within the structure. Interfacial debonding and delamination fractures are effective mechanisms for absorption of projectile energy (Monib et al. 1999). However, the defects induced may reduce the residual strength of the composite structure so that it may no longer possess the required structural and ballistic characteristics. If such characteristics are below the design allowables then immediate repairs are needed. Fundamental studies are needed to predict the postrepair performance of hybrid composite structures (Fink and Gillespie 1999). The structural integrity of repaired components may influence the constraining pressure effects on adjacent regions of a composite structure and their property degradation (Coffin and Rogers 1967; Harik 1997; Harik and Cairneross 1999).

The repair of complex composite structures should be carried out as a comprehensive structural maintenance program. Establishing such a program should include evaluation of the following aspects:

- sources of structural deterioration in Army systems,
- susceptibility of each Army structure to various sources of deterioration,
- the consequences of deterioration to continued battle worthiness,
- the effectiveness of detection methods in finding structural deterioration (taking into account inspection thresholds and intervals,

- the effectiveness of the repair in restoring load-carrying and ballistic capabilities and the effect on the integrity of an Army structure, and
- the effectiveness of prevention and control measures to mitigate existing and anticipated problems.
- 2.5.2 Recommendations for Studies of Adhesive Joints. A comprehensive study of primary LCF damage evolution mechanisms in ballistically impacted and repaired Army structures is needed. Reliable prediction of the ballistic damage tolerance of various Army systems is possible, only if the LCF mechanics of damaged hybrid structures is well understood. The LCF performance of damaged composites has to be evaluated in order to set the guidelines for the repair program. The postrepair mechanics of complex composite systems is also closely linked with the mechanics of in-service degradation processes such as fatigue, corrosion, and the effects of discrete ballistic impacts.
- 2.6 Environmental Effects on Army Systems. Future Army applications of polymer-matrix and hybrid composites presume that the composite structures will experience considerable exposure to a wide range of temperatures and humidity levels. Hence, during service life, the mechanical fatigue loading is often complemented with various environmental conditions. The resulting physical environment may involve thermomechanical loads, moisture effects, chemical corrosion, mechanical wear, etc. In the moist or chemically active environment, degradation of mechanical properties may be especially severe since the pre-existing defects and developing matrix cracking may lead to significant plasticization of the matrix. Dramatic changes in the material damage state can lead to premature failure.

Adams, Bowles, and Herakovich (1986) demonstrated that transverse tracking, which is common under fatigue conditions, significantly reduces the coefficient of thermal expansion. In the 1980s, a number of researchers* showed how the moisture uptake increases due to matrix cracking and affects the matrix material properties. Wang, Bogetti, and Gillespie (1998) showed that the fiber-matrix interphase has higher moisture content that leads to increased stress concentration and higher probability of interfacial failure.

2.6.1 Environmental Effects on Integrity of Interfaces. Interfacial mechanical properties may deteriorate due to a number of environmental effects. In humid environments, the composite

See Wang, Bogetti, and Gillespie (1998).

structures can absorb water or chemically active vapors. Absorbed moisture can affect the residual stress states, stress concentration, material damage state, molecular network structure, and glass transition temperature. Furthermore, plasticization of the interphase may also be caused by moisture absorption (Wang, Bogetti, and Gillespie 1998). Such interphasial changes would affect the load transfer between the matrix and reinforcing fibers and the macroscopic damage state. In the toughened matrices, along with the aforementioned interfacial phenomena, there may be additional interfacial problems such as crazing around rubber particles.

Fiber-reinforced plastics exhibit values of hardness and thermal resistance that are lower then those of metallic materials. Surface microcracks may nucleate from the surface flaws and notches and evolve into matrix cracks under mechanical and environmental fatigue conditions. As the microcracks grow, they may interact with the matrix macrocracks. This would increase the crack density and the probability of changes in the material damage state. Protective coating of composites (Paesano, Visconti, and Penasa 1992) may impede the growth of surface defects. Metallic or ceramic coatings usually improve the hardness and thermal fatigue resistance of PMCs (Vishwanath, Varna, and Rao 1990). Such coatings have advanced surface properties and a coat-substrate adhesion that is strong enough to withstand high loads present in structures under LCF. The only drawback is that high adhesion is achieved by high-temperature processing, that is not always well tolerated by the plastic substrate.

2.6.2 Recommendations for Studies of Environmental Effects. Long-term exposure of Army composite structures to a humid and/or chemically active environment can significantly affect the material properties, material damage states, ballistic performance, and fatigue life of Army systems. During moisture absorption, various fatigue events such as matrix microcracking, interfacial debonding, and property degradation of the matrix and the interphase take place. On the other hand, the external mechanical loads may initiate different structural defects and induce the material damage states that cause higher rates of moisture absorption. These deleterious effects require in-depth studies especially in the area of interfacial properties of composites, kinetics of fatigue damage, and material damage states. The microstructural and macrostructural interphases play a critical role in load transfer between dissimilar constituent materials and structural components.

3. Fatigue Damage Mechanisms: Structural Effects

Advances in fatigue life prediction capability require understanding of the fundamental mechanisms of material degradation during service life. The changes in macroscopic mechanical

behavior of composites and the corresponding rates of property degradation strongly depend on the patterns of damage evolution. High loads and moderate strain rates associated with the LCF conditions may affect the initiation of damage and the kinetics of fatigue damage accumulation between different material damage states. Under fatigue loading conditions, composite systems accumulate extensive microstructural and macroscopic damage. The complex nature of damage in composite systems can be understood only if the microstructural effects are also considered, as different microstructures lead to different patterns of fracture and damage accumulation (Talreja 1993, 1994), which result in different material damage states (Case and Reifsnider 1998).

On a microscopic level, the mechanical damage occurs through various uncoupled (i.e., noninteractive) and coupled (i.e., interactive) damage and failure modes. A particular damage mechanism may involve a number of different damage modes (Talreja 1987). On a macroscopic level, accumulation of damage leads to significant changes in material properties (e.g., stiffness, strength, thermal, and electric conductivities [Case and Reifsnider 1998]), as well as changes in the material damage state. The effects of high loads and finite strain rates on various damage and failure modes depend on microstructural elements (e.g., properties of fibers and their sizing, properties, and structure of interphases) and macrostructural elements (e.g., stacking sequence, interlaminar layers, and through-thickness reinforcement).

Laminated composite structures have inherently low interlaminar fracture toughness (Reifsnider 1991). As a result, their most prevalent fatigue failure mode is delamination. The process of interlaminar delamination of composites, its initiation, and growth are complex mechanical phenomena (O'Brien et al. 1982; O'Brien 1991). The delamination failure is usually preceded by extensive damage accumulation in the matrix, fiber-matrix interphase and interlaminar region (Talreja 1987). Different types of fatigue damage influence each other and affect the damage evolution patterns (Broutman and Sahu 1969; Mandell 1981; Mandelll et al. 1984; Pook 1995, 1997, 1998; Talreja, to be published).

The evolution of fatigue damage in most composite systems can be described by a series of material damage states (Reifsnider 1994) and a set of damage mechanisms (Talreja 1987). These mechanisms can be divided into six groups:

- evolution of existing damage (deformation of microvoids, propagation of microcracks, void-crack interaction, coalescence of voids),
- fiber damage mechanisms (evolution of surface defects, nucleation of surface cracks due to surface roughness, failure caused by the interfacial stress concentration),

- interfacial damage mechanisms (nucleation of interfacial cracks, debonding of the interface, interfacial separation and sliding, microcracking in the interphase, interfacial void formation),
- matrix damage mechanisms (nucleation of microcracks from existing flaws, propagation of microcracks, formation of transverse macrocracks),
- interlaminar damage mechanisms (interlaminar debonding, interlaminar cracking), and
- through-the-thickness splitting of composite laminates.

As noted before, a particular type of damage mechanism can be associated with one or more fracture modes. For instance, formation of a transverse matrix microcrack is associated with mode I crack opening that is caused by the normal tensile stresses. The interfacial crack opening, which may affect the transverse matrix macrocracking, is associated with mode I and mode II crack opening. Mode II is caused by the pure shear failure. Mode I has dominant influence on the interfacial separation (Hashin 1991; Harik 1997). Intrinsic strength of a composite material is often controlled by these highly localized stress conditions and fracture events.

- 3.1 Fatigue Damage in Unidirectional Laminated Composites. The activation of particular fatigue damage mechanisms in unidirectional composites depends on the type of loading (e.g., tension-tension, tension-compression, compression-compression), its value with respect to the ultimate strength of structural components, the loading rate, and the direction of loading (e.g., parallel, inclined or perpendicular to the fiber direction). First, the fatigue damage is analyzed under the most common tensile loads parallel to fibers.
- 3.1.1 Damage Caused by Axial Fatigue Loading. Fatigue damage in unidirectional composites usually starts with transverse microcracking in the matrix, breakage of individual weak fibers, and longitudinal interfacial debonding. The matrix microcracking can evolve into a network of macrocracks via crack propagation and crack bridging. The evolution of macrocracks may be affected by the interfacial debonding and finite separation of the fiber-matrix interface through crack interaction and crack bridging. The surface cracks and notches may evolve into matrix cracks and interact with the matrix macrocracks as well. Initially, matrix cracking can be considered homogeneous and non-interactive throughout individual plies (Talreja 1987). The crack density may reach a steady state as the characteristic damage state (CDS) sets in (Reifsnider 1977). The transverse cracks may also induce an interlaminar fracture and consequent delamination of different plies (see sections 4.2 and 4.3). This stage in the fatigue damage accumulation often leads to the final failure of a laminate composite.

The broken fibers cause shear-stress concentrations at the interface around the fracture site (Gamstedt and Talreja 1999). This usually leads to localized interfacial failure with the length of debonding being equal to a few fiber diameters. The extent of debonding depends on the shear strength of the interface. The segments of a broken fiber usually separate and form a void. The void formed induces stress concentration for the longitudinal tensile stress

The individual fiber breakage occurs when the applied stresses exceed the strength of the weakest fibers in the unidirectional composite. This may happen as the service loads of higher values are being applied. Initially, such fiber failures and associated intralaminar voids do not significantly affect overall strength of the composite as the fibers failed are the weakest in the plies so they carry a relatively small amount of load. Such isolated failures are usually noninteractive when they occur.

The intralaminar voids, which are formed after a few individual fibers fail, may interact with existing or newly formed microcracks in the surrounding material. As a result, these microvoids may grow along with the wing-shaped macrocracks on both sides. The growing macrocracks may reach the neighboring fibers and introduce interfacial stress concentration that may lead either to the fiber failure by cleavage or to the interfacial shear failure (Tanimoto and Amijima 1975; Talreja 1987; Reifsnider 1991). The interfacial debonding promotes the macrocrack bridging, formation of a macrovoid, and the tensile failure of the neighboring fibers. When interaction and the consequent coalescence of neighboring macrovoids begin, the final failure of a composite is imminent.

3.1.2 Damage Caused by Off-Axis Fatigue Loading. Under off-axis fatigue loading, the probability of fiber breakage will rapidly decrease as the off-axis orientation angle increases. The predominant damage mechanisms involve matrix cracking and interfacial debonding between the matrix and reinforcing fibers. Any crack nucleated at the fiber-matrix interface is subjected to the normal stress in transverse direction and the tangential stress along the fiber direction. These stresses result in opening and sliding crack displacements, respectively. The relative magnitudes of these crack displacements depend on the off-axis angle defining the orientation of fibers. This also applies to the plies having $\pm 45^{\circ}$ or $\pm 30^{\circ}$ orientation angles.

The opening mode of the interfacial crack growth under fatigue conditions has a greater effect on the degradation of macroscopic mechanical properties of unidirectional composites. This fracture mode increases porosity of the composite and leads to complete local debonding of fibers and interfacial microvoids. The voids with complete circumferential debonding of the interface increase effective porosity of the composite and lead to macrovoids. Interaction between

interfacial voids and matrix microcracking may result in the wing-shaped macrocracks on both sides of a microvoid. Such voids usually become macrovoids via the damage mechanisms described in the previous section.

The crack-opening mode affects the fatigue limit that is defined by the limiting applied strain below which no crack growth can occur (Talreja 1987). The fatigue limit will be the lowest under the transverse loading normal to the fiber direction. At the maximum off-axis angle, the opening mode of crack growth will be the only active damage mechanism. The mechanical properties of the fiber-matrix interface or the matrix material properties determine the minimum strain required for the initiation of transverse fiber debonding.

3.2 Damage in Cross-Ply Laminates. The fatigue damage mechanisms in cross-ply laminates include interfacial debonding of transverse fibers (in the 90° plies), transverse matrix cracking, individual fiber breakage, interfacial shear failure, and interlaminar fracture (Owen 1974; Bailey and Parvizi 1981; Berglund, Varna, and Yuan 1992). Broutman and Sahu (1969) have shown that debonding of the transverse fibers constitutes the first damage mechanism activated under fatigue loading in cross-ply laminates. The resulting transverse interfacial cracks may interact with the matrix microcracking near the fiber-matrix interphase. This often leads to the bridging of the existing transverse cracks. The macrocracks then grow toward interlaminar interfaces and cause stress concentrations in the interlaminar region. Interlaminar delamination may follow the crack growth, since the most likely initiation sites for delamination are the transverse cracks in the matrix (Crossman et al. 1980; Korczynsky and Morley 1981; Kim and Mai 1991; O'Brien 1993).

The interfacial and transverse cracking in the 90° plies is a progressive type of damage. Their growth has probabilistic nature (Fukunaga et al. 1984). The developed transverse cracks approximately span the thickness and width direction of the 90° layer. The transverse cracking may reach a saturation state (i.e., the characteristic damage state) before the final failure of a composite. Such a network of macrocracks not only creates stress concentrations and the delamination sites, but may also induce the fiber breakage in adjacent 0° plies. Such fracture events have progressive character, as opposed to the breakage of individual weak fibers in the 0° plies, which is a nonprogressive type of failure. The weak-fiber breakage may result in a damage state when the neighboring microvoids start interacting with each other, matrix microcracks or the transverse macrocracks. Such interaction is characteristic for the last stage in the fatigue damage accumulation before the final failure.

It should be noted that when the [0_n/90_m]_s laminates are being loaded, there are two competing fracture modes during the initial stage of loading. The aforementioned matrix and interfacial cracking in transverse direction can be accompanied by the free-edge delamination. It is caused by the interlaminar tensile stress at the free edge (Pipes and Pagano 1970). Wang and Crossman (1980) demonstrated that the free-edge effects are usually small in the [0/90]_s type composites and that edge delamination cannot occur alone. Consequently, Korczynsky and Morley (1981), Crossman and Wang (1982), O'Brien et al. (1982), Kim and Mai (1991), and O'Brien (1993) have been studying the initiation of delamination from existing transverse cracks. Transverse cracking may also cause local longitudinal splitting (Harik et al. 1999).

3.3 Damage in Woven Fiber Composites. The fatigue damage in woven fiber composites begins with the nucleation of numerous cracks during the first cycle of loading (Tanimoto and Amijima 1975). The crack density then gradually reaches a constant value for the characteristic damage state. The cracks first form in the resin area near the fibers perpendicular to the load direction (i.e., transverse fibers). Later, the cracks grow through the resin matrix surrounding the transverse fibers toward the adjacent longitudinal fibers. These cracks may branch off when they reach any material inhomogeneity such as a fiber or fiber-matrix interphase. Depending on the interphasial properties, a propagating crack may be "attracted" or "repelled" by the encountered inhomogeneity (Patton and Santare 1993).

The woven laminates, similar to the commonly used glass/epoxy and graphite/epoxy systems, usually experience matrix cracking under tensile stresses greater than the strength of plies. During the loading process of these relatively brittle matrices, the progressive formation of cracks results in gradual changes in the compliance of the composite. The progressive nucleation of cracks continues until the CDS (i.e., characteristic damage state) is reached in the crack-saturated matrix (Reifsnider 1977). The final crack spacing is the same for cyclic and fatigue loading. It is also independent of load history, environmental conditions, and residual and moisture-induced stresses.

It is important to know whether the transverse matrix cracks remain in the resin phase or propagate into the resin/interphase areas between the transverse fibers. If cracks remain in the resin phase, then there should be no considerable damage and corresponding property degradation in the composite (Tanimoto and Amijima 1975). The matrix cracks may also form parallel to woven fabric lamina. Their length and density increase with an increasing number of loading cycles. These cracks may also reach a steady state as well.

Damage in woven composites and other composite systems can be characterized by a number of methods. The commonly used S-N curves describe the residual strength of composites, which depends on the number of cycles to failure. Talreja (1987) proposed the strain-based fatigue-life diagrams that characterize the residual strain-to-failure vs. number of loading cycles. Talreja's fatigue-life diagrams provide a conceptually useful way of mapping the fatigue damage mechanisms onto a strain-vs.-cycle diagram. The diagrams do not characterize any of the rate-controlling parameters that could be derived only from more quantitative deterministic or statistical models for fatigue damage accumulation.

4. Modeling of Damage Accumulation

The fatigue damage accumulation models should reflect the physics of microscopic damage initiation, the mechanics of crack propagation or void growth within composite materials, and the changes in the macroscopic material damage state. The evolution of damage in laminated composites, which are inhomogeneous and highly anisotropic, is inherently complicated. The finite element methods (Bathe 1982; Zienkiewicz and Taylor 1989; Kaliakin 1996) proved to be powerful tools for most accurate and robust modeling of nonlinear deformation and fracture of multiphase materials (Bogetti, Gillespie, and Lamontia 1994; Bogetti, Hoppel, and Burns 1995; Harik 1997), interfacial fracture (Needleman 1987; Li and Kaliakin 1993; Nath, Fenner, and Galiotis 1996; Harik 1997), evolution of voids (Needleman 1972; Becker et al. 1988) and viscoelastic effects (Brinson and Knauss 1992; Chen, Davila, and Baker 1998). However, there are many difficulties with numerical stability and error analysis of nonlinear finite element simulations (Nochetto 1990; Szabo and Babuska 1991).

The patterns of fatigue damage accumulation are influenced by the level of loads relative to the ultimate strength of composite constituents, the rate of loading, and various environmental conditions. The damage accumulation process can be characterized by the loss of stiffness, residual strength, residual strain-to-failure (Talreja 1987), residual fatigue life (Case and Reifsnider 1998), and nondimensional groups of physical parameters (Bridgman 1922). The process of fatigue damage accumulation can be divided into several stages such as initiation of damage, growth of pre-existing defects, noninteractive and interactive evolution of multiple cracks and microvoids, and unstable damage accumulation (Talreja 1987; Reifsnider 1991; Hahn 1979). Each such stage can be described by one or more material damage state (Reifsnider 1994). Significant loads and strain rates associated with the LCF may have considerable effects on each stage of fatigue damage accumulation.

4.1 An Initial Damage State of Composites. The initial macroscopic properties of PMCs depend on the extent of processing-induced damage (e.g., microvoids, cracking, and imperfect interfaces) and environmental effects that constitute an initial damage state (IDS) of a composite (Harik 1997). In most theoretical analyses, the ideal structural state (ISS) is assumed as the initial state of a composite system without any damage. The ISS characteristics include a flawless lay-up, perfect interfacial and interlaminar bonding, uniform fiber radii, negligible fiber waviness, controlled distributions of fibers, absence of microvoids and flaws, negligible residual stresses, and uniform degree of cure (Hull 1981). Variations in constituents properties and manufacturing processes may result in different IDSs for composites having the same ISS. Different IDSs result in the structural states having distinct macroscopic mechanical properties.

In the previous section, various damage evolution patterns are discussed for several types of laminate composites. Most of these patterns involve initiation of transverse interfacial cracks and/or transverse matrix cracking. Interfacial defects and cracking may be also initiated by thermal loads (Sottos 1990) and by material processing (Harik 1997). Such cracking defines the initial damage state of a composite. The stress analyses, which are based on the unconstrained transverse tensile strength of the 90° layer, can predict the onset of transverse cracking (Garret and Bailey 1977; Bailey, Curtis, and Parvizi 1979; and Adams, Bowles, and Herakovich 1986). However, the crack initiation strains predicted by these methods are unrealistically small in the case of thin transverse layers. In practice, the constraining effect of the 0° layers on the transverse crack growth in the 90° is significant. It results in much higher strains required for the onset of transverse cracking.

The fracture mechanics approach, which is based on an energy criterion, is able to describe the thickness effect constraining the crack growth. This method postulates that a microcrack will form when the released energy due to crack propagation is greater than some critical value. This value is called the critical energy release rate. This method is proved to be effective for predicting transverse cracking in brittle thermoset composites. In the case of tough thermoplastic composites, this method is not as effective (Berglund et al. 1992). The ductile aspects of material behavior under finite strain rates can be simulated by various viscous material models (Bingham 1922; Nadai 1950; Harik 1997; Barbat et al. 1997).

Micromechanical analysis of fatigue damage may take into account the initial variations in the microstructural mechanical properties. Manders et al. (1983) and Gao and Reifsnider (1993) introduced micromechanical models that analyze the effect of statistical distributions for the strength of fiber, matrix, and the fiber-matrix interface. The activation of different damage and failure modes is investigated by employing a number of criteria for the onset of fracture and

failure. For different levels of loading, the degradation of mechanical properties and stress redistributions are examined for both monotonic and cyclic loading. These models can be used to carry out parametric studies and sensitivity analyses for various property distributions (Gao and Reifsnider 1993). As a result, this methodology can be useful for structural optimization of composites.

4.2 Micromechanical Analysis of Individual Cracks. Microscopic analysis of damage resolves localized stress and strain concentrations and individual fracture events (Love 1944; Timoshenko and Goodier 1951; Landau and Lifshitz 1986). Many analytical models have been proposed to solve the transverse cracking problem (e. g., Reifsnider 1977; Parvizi and Bailey 1978; Bailey, Curtis, and Parvizi 1979; Flaggs 1985; Dvorak and Laws 1987; Gillespie and Hansen 1996; Akshantala and Talreja 1998). Garret and Bailey (1977) used the shear-lag theory to derive a second-order differential equation for the amount of stress, Δσ, transferred from the 0° ply to the 90° damaged ply:

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta \sigma}{d\xi^2} + \phi^2 \Delta \sigma = 0,\tag{1}$$

where $\xi = x/t$ and the constant ϕ is given by $\phi^2 = G_{TT}C_1$ where $C_1 = \frac{1}{E_T} + \frac{1}{\lambda E_T}$. Here, G_{TT} , E_T , and E_L are the shear, transverse, and longitudinal moduli, respectively, for the unidirectional composite. In the derivation of the shear-stress transfer coefficient, ϕ , the through-thickness uniformity of the displacement in the transverse plies in x-direction was assumed.

A number of researchers made various improvements to the Garret-Bailey analysis. Manders et al. (1983) extended the Garret-Bailey analysis to include the effects of neighboring microcracks. Ogin and Smith (1987) assumed that the displacement in the 90° plies is parabolic in z, and derived the Garret-Bailey equation with

$$\phi^2 = 3G_{rr}C_1. \tag{2}$$

Han, Hahn, and Croman (1987) obtained the same results. Reifsnider (1977) and Dvorak and Laws (1987) introduced a shear transfer layer between the ply groups, which is characterized by the effective shear stiffness, ϕ , as an adjustable parameter. Reifsnider's analysis yields

$$\phi^2 = \frac{Gt_1C_1}{t_0},\tag{3}$$

where G is the shear modulus of the shear transfer layer and t_0 is its thickness. In this approach, the shear stiffness, G/t_0 , of the shear stress transfer layer is an unknown parameter that must be determined by fitting experimental data.

The shear-lag method is simple and gives reasonable predictions of stiffness reductions. However, it ignores the shear and through-thickness deformation due to the opening displacement of a transverse crack. Moreover, the shear-lag solution predicts a non-zero shear stress on the transverse crack surfaces, which violates the no-shear boundary condition. Since the shear-lag approach is essentially one-dimensional, it can not provide complete stress distributions.

Hashin (1985, 1986) proposed a two-dimensional analysis of the mechanical response in the x-z plane by using the principle of minimum complementary energy in the variational framework. In contrast to the shear-lag models, Hashin's closed-form solution satisfies the no-shear stress boundary condition. It also estimates the interlaminar stresses. The through-thickness variations of the axial normal stresses are assumed to be small. Varna and Berglund (1991, 1992) modified Hashin's variational model to take into account a normal-stress gradient in the 0° layer. However, the stress gradient in the 90° layer was still neglected. All of the aforementioned models describe stress distributions around a transverse crack that spans the whole thickness and the whole width of the transverse layer. The growth process of the transverse crack was not considered. Wang and Crossman (1980) suggested an energy method for the investigation of initiation and growth of transverse cracks and edge delamination in composite laminates. They assumed that a microcrack exists in the 90° layer of the matrix. Conditions for the stable crack growth were investigated in the framework of "effective flaws."

Akshantala and Talreja (1998) proposed a mechanistic model of the evolution of transverse cracking in cross-ply laminates that are subjected to cyclic tension in the longitudinal direction. The unique feature of their model is that it takes into account delamination associated with transverse cracks so the progressive delamination induces further formation of transverse cracks. In the region between transverse cracks, the stresses are estimated by a variational approach, which was shown to yield an accurate solution away from the crack planes. This model allows an effective prediction of the transverse crack density and changes in the macroscopic elastic moduli.

4.3 Analysis of Delamination Growth in PMCs. Composite laminates develop significant interlaminar stresses under axial tension (Pipes and Pagano 1991). Wang and Crossman (1980) pointed out that the free-edge effects are usually small in the composites having [0/90]_s lay-up, so the edge delamination cannot occur alone. Hence, the combined effect of matrix cracking and free edge delamination should be investigated (Salpekar and O'Brien 1991). O'Brien et al. (1982)

have developed an effective test for experimental characterization of the interlaminar fracture toughness of composites and studies of the interlaminar crack growth. Whitcomb (1991) and O'Brien (1993) showed that the initiation of delamination occurs at existing transverse cracks or other sources of material nonhomogeneity. Inclusions may attract or repel a propagating crack so that it may change its path (Patton and Santare 1993).

In the fatigue performance analysis (e.g., MRLife11, see Appendix), delamination can be also assumed to be caused by the interlaminar stresses at a free edge. The strain energy release rate, G, for the interlaminar crack is then estimated by a fracture mechanics approach in conjunction with the laminated plate theory (O'Brien 1991):

$$G = \frac{\varepsilon^2 t}{2} (E_{lam} - E^*), \tag{4}$$

where ε is the strain, t is the thickness of the laminate, and E_{lam} and E' are laminate moduli before delamination and after total delamination, respectively. The estimate is independent of the delamination length, but it takes into account the thickness of the laminate. This results in the O'Brien-Paris law,

$$\frac{da}{dn} = AG^B, (5)$$

for the interlaminar crack growth from a delaminated edge under fatigue loading. Here, a is the delamination length, n is the number of cycles, and A and B are experimentally determined constants. This model for the interlaminar fatigue crack growth is similar to the Paris law for fatigue crack growth in metals.

4.4 Micromechanical Analysis of Voids. Interfacial debonding, sliding and separation, and interfacial void formation are among interfacial problems that may occur during processing and finite-strain-rate LCF loading. These interfacial problems can later lead to premature failure. Recently these interfacial problems have been studied by a number of researchers (e.g., Xia et al. 1994; Budiansky, Evans, and Hutchinson 1995; Jasiuk and Kouider 1993; and Harik and Cairneross 1999). When such materials are subjected to compressive or extensional loads, interfacial voids may occur by further decohesion of the matrix material from the inclusions (Hashin 1991).

Budiansky, Hutchinson, and Slutsky (1982) investigated the evolution of isolated spherical voids in an infinite linear viscous solid subjected to various bi-axial stresses. The effect of outside pressure on the final shape of such voids was extensively analyzed. Deformation of

spherical cavities has been also studied by Rice, Rudnicki, and Simons (1978) for a class of fluid-infiltrated elastic materials. For viscous materials, Budiansky, Hutchinson, and Slutsky (1982) showed that tension or transverse compression loads lead to elongated ellipsoidal voids. Harik and Cairncross (1999) showed that similar tendencies develop in plane compression flows around cylindrical inclusions, although the deformation of cavities is no longer homogeneous. Needleman (1987) and Lee and Batt (1989) studied formation of interfacial separation and evolution of interfacial voids at rigid inclusions in an elastic-viscoplastic matrix. In particular, it was noted that the shear stiffness parameter of the phenomenologically described interface had insignificant effect on the voids studied. In the case of compression flows around cylindrical particles with perfectly weak interfaces, Harik and Cairncross (1999) showed that the interfacial sliding has rather small influence on the voids as they are formed by predominantly normal interfacial separation. Such voids may grow and collapse (Lee and Dawson 1993; Lee and Mear 1994). The monotonically growing voids may lead to void coalescence (Koplik and Needleman 1988) and unstable failure.

4.5 Continuum Damage Mechanics of Multiple Cracks and Voids. In contrast to the traditional (differential) fracture mechanics, the Continuum Damage Mechanics (CDM) describes the accumulation of multiple cracking or voidage and their effect on the degradation of macroscopic mechanical properties (Kachanov 1958, 1986; Chaboche 1981; Lemaitre 1984, 1992; Krajcinovic 1989; Talreja 1990; Voyiadjis 1998, 1999). Kachanov (1958) was the first to describe the effect of multiple voids on high-temperature creep behavior by introducing an internal damage variable D. Macroscopic effects of damage are described by

$$\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0} = \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0(1-D)}\right)^n \tag{6}$$

and

$$\dot{D} = \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0(1-D)}\right)^p,\tag{7}$$

where n, p, $\dot{\varepsilon}_0$, and σ_0 are experimentally determined parameters and σ and ε denote unidirectional stress and strain, respectively. The damage variable D is assumed to be a scalar function. Although there is contrary microscopic evidence indicating the directional characteristics of damage, the scalar damage is a useful modeling variable (Kachanov 1958, 1986). This approach is relatively simple, and it can be readily related to the experimental data on

macroscopic mechanical properties. More general models were developed by Lemaitre (1984, 1992), Talreja (1987, 1990, 1996), Krajcinovic (1989), Arnold and Kruch (1991), Voyiadjis and Echle (1998), and Voyiadjis and Park (1999).

The damage variable *D* and the corresponding accumulation of damage can be characterized by the stiffness loss measured during testing (Burr, Hild, and Leckie 1995). In 1984, Ashby and Dyson* established a connection between the damage parameter *D* and the property deterioration occurring in metals subjected to a high-temperature environment. A functional map linking the operating conditions and various damage mechanisms was constructed. In 1987, Cocks and Leckie* recast these results into the CDM format. In 1991, Hall and Hayhurst* demonstrated how the CDM method can accurately predict the type and growth of internal damage in engineering structures under high-temperature conditions. Arnold and Wilt (1993), Voyiadjis and Echle (1998) developed computational algorithms based on CDM models for deformation, damage accumulation, and lifetime prediction of composites.

In the CDM models, the matrix constitutive properties are locally averaged and determined with respect to the damaged local volume. The effect of transverse cracks is reflected in the constitutive equation via introduction of homogenized effective layers instead of the cracked transverse layers. These models usually provide only in-plane stress components because the stresses are derived from the effective constitutive equations and classical laminate theory. As a result, the out-of-plane stress and interlaminar stresses cannot be determined by the CDM methods. This restricts the effectiveness of the CDM methods, since the interlaminar fracture constitutes a very common failure mechanism that has to be taken into account.

5. Fatigue Life Prediction

Advanced composite materials perform well in weight-critical structural applications. To ensure the weight advantage of laminates, one needs to improve their fatigue performance, as they are prone to delamination failures under fatigue conditions (O'Brien 1991). This is especially important for Army land combat systems that are often subjected to high loads and moderate strain rates under the LCF conditions. In order to improve the mechanical behavior of these composites under any fatigue loading, it is necessary to link their material and structural characteristics to their fatigue life span. Schaff and Davidson (1997a, b) point out that current

See Burr, Hild, and Leckie (1995).

life prediction models are ineffective for the PMCs. Counterbalancing of this deficiency leads to "overdesigned" structures and large factors of safety, which inevitably result in heavier and more costly structural components. Hence, heavier vehicles have much lower vehicle performance, which translates into reduced mobility.

The development of service life prediction models requires not only understanding of the basic mechanisms of material degradation but also reliable models of damage accumulation and damage effects on the macroscopic mechanical properties (Sendeckyj 1990). The presence of high loads and significant strain rates under LCF loading conditions requires nonlinear modeling capabilities. The fatigue life predictions can be based on either the rate of damage accumulation or on the rate of property degradation. These approaches can be characterized as micromechanical or mechanistic and phenomenological or macromechanical, respectively. Micromechanical models quantitatively account for the microstructural effects and progression of damage. Macroscopic phenomenological models are based on macroscopic properties (strength, stiffness, etc). A hybrid approach involving prediction of damage growth and property degradation can also be used.

5.1 Micromechanical Fatigue Models. Micromechanical fatigue models provide a quantitative account for the effects of a particular microstructure and the transient evolution of microscopic damage in composites. Such mechanistic models are independent of lay-ups and type of loading, so they are adaptable to various geometric variations. These models usually require minimal experimentally obtained input. As a result, such models promise to be useful for wide variety of existing and new composite materials. However, the complex nature of fatigue phenomena in highly anisotropic materials poses major challenges for understanding and accurate modeling of the physical processes occurring on the microscopic level.

Gao and Reifsnider (1993) introduced micromechanical models that analyze the effect of statistical distributions for the strength of fiber, matrix, and the fiber-matrix interphase on the macroscopic mechanical properties. The activation of different failure modes is investigated by employing a number of failure criteria. For different levels of loading, the degradation of mechanical properties and stress redistributions are examined for both static and cyclic loading. Dzenis, Joshi, and Bogdanovich (1993) and Dzenis (1996) proposed other micromechanical damage models which may include stochastic effects in damage evolution. These models can be used to carry out parametric studies and sensitivity analyses for various property distributions (Gao and Reifsnider 1992). As a result, this methodology can be useful for structural optimization of composites.

Talreja (1990) suggested a tensorial representation of various damages within a composite material. A given damage entity within volume, V, is assumed to be bounded by a surface, S, with a uniquely defined unit vector, \mathbf{n} . The mechanical influence of each point on the volume, V, is described by a vector, \mathbf{a} . As a result, a second order tensor, \mathbf{d} , can be defined by

$$d_{ij} \int_{S} a_i n_j dS \tag{8}$$

If N damage modes are present, then for each α th mode, one may define the damage tensor

$$D_{ij}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k_a} \left(d_{ij} \right)_{k_a}, \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, ... N$ and k_{α} is the number of damage entities in the α th mode. The damage influence vector, \mathbf{a} , can be decomposed into its normal and tangential components. The normal component is of special interest for the transverse matrix cracking. For small strains and low concentrations of damage, the stiffness of the composite material can be easily related to the damage tensor D_{ij} (Talreja 1990). Therefore, the presence of damage affects the initial symmetry properties of the material and its stiffness. Therefore, the degradation of material stiffness can be predicted.

5.2 Phenomenological Fatigue Models. Phenomenological models are effective simulation tools for analyzing macroscopic behavior of composites. The structure of these models may vary from simple empirical or semi-empirical rules to complex concepts rooted in the continuum damage mechanics (Reifsnider 1991; Talreja 1994; Case and Reifsnider 1998; Chamis 1999; Tsai 1999). Macroscopic models are especially promising for specific industrial applications where achieving short-term modeling goals is important. The simpler models tend to be reliable only for a narrow group of composite materials that have many structural similarities. This limitation stems from the fact that the phenomenological models do not quantitatively account for evolution of damage in composites. The more differences between the material's microstructure exist, the more variety in the patterns of damage evolution. Therefore, these structural differences result in the variations in the damage accumulation effects on the macroscopic behavior of composites. The macroscopic effects of typical microstructures can be characterized by comprehensive fatigue testing.

The structure of composite materials may also vary on the ply level. Therefore, the stacking sequence and other characteristics of lay-ups may affect the macroscopic properties of

composites as well. The microstructural effects also include local stress distributions and stress concentrations that vary from one composite to another, even if they have the same microstructure. Such variations result from pre-existing or processing-induced defects, which are also not accounted for in phenomenological models. Local stress distributions may also change as the characteristics of loading change. Hence, simple macroscopic models are sensitive to the type of fatigue loading. This sensitivity of empirical models to the initial microstructure is typical for mathematical modeling of any ill-conditioned physical problem. The effects of typical structural variations can be often evaluated by additional experimental testing. Multiple experiments are also required for fatigue analyses based on the time-temperature superposition hypothesis (Tsai 1999). This dependency on large amounts of experimental input for each type of material, lay-up, and loading is a major disadvantage of all phenomenological models.

5.2.1 Models Based on Strength and Stiffness. The phenomenological life prediction models characterize the degradation of macroscopic mechanical properties, such as strength, stiffness, etc. The material's strength and stiffness are the primary characteristics of mechanical behavior that could be easily monitored. As a consequence, there are stiffness-based and strength-based models for fatigue life predictions. The fatigue failure of a composite occurs when the current stress applied is equal to (or greater than) its residual strength. It is physically natural to employ this failure criterion in the strength-based fatigue models (Case and Reifsnider 1998; Appendix). These models are often characterized as "wearout" models.

The models, which use the composite's stiffness as their primary variable, additionally require formulation of fundamental failure criteria. Hahn and Kim, O'Brien and Reifsnider, Whitworth and Farrow (Schaff and Davidson 1997a) introduced failure criteria based on the secant modulus. The failure criteria based on the static strain to failure were proposed by Hwang and Han (1986), and Poursartip, Ashby and Beaumont (1986). The stiffness-based fatigue models associated with the aforementioned criteria provide reasonable fatigue life predictions for constant amplitude and/or two-stress amplitude loadings. The degradation rates for the Young's modulus and the strength of composites can be evaluated via experimental testing. The experimentally determined rates can be characterized by such concepts as the fatigue degradation modulus (Hwang and Han 1986) and a factor for degradation of strength (Schaff and Davidson 1997a, b). These fatigue parameters are often used in the phenomenological life prediction models to characterize the degradation of mechanical properties or the residual strength, the remaining fatigue life, etc. It should be emphasized that the rates of material property degradation are important material parameters that should be known during any design process.

For instance, initially stiff composites may have worse fatigue performance than some more compliant composite materials because of their high rates of the modulus degradation.

5.2.2 Statistical Fatigue Models. The residual strength and fatigue life of composites are statistical quantities, in general (Fukunaga et al. 1984; Talreja 1987; Chamis 1999). Two-parameter Weibull functions are commonly used in the strength-based fatigue models to describe the residual strength distribution after arbitrary load history. These functions can also describe the probability of the composite's failure and fatigue life distributions after an arbitrary number of fatigue cycles. The two-parameter Weibull functions are defined by the scale, which represents the 63.2 percentile of the distribution, and the shape, which characterizes the degree of scatter in the statistical data. Both the scale and shape parameters can be determined by experimental testing and the method of maximum likelihood (Talreja 1987).

The shape parameter for strength at zero cycles, $B_s(0)$, must equal the static shape factor, B_s . The shape parameter usually decreases with increasing cycling, as the residual strength distributions become more disperse during fatigue testing (Schaff and Davidson 1997a). The range of values involved in the residual strength distribution becomes wider as the number of fatigue cycles increases. The Weibull scale parameters are defined as the 63.2 percentile of the respective distribution functions. Experimental data on the initial static strength and the residual strength of composites can be represented by the Weibull scale parameters. Schaff and Davidson (1997a, b) developed models for constant amplitude and two-stress amplitude fatigue with a reduced number of experimentally determined parameters. The Schaff-Davidson models are based on the following assumptions:

- environmental and frequency-related effects are negligible,
- the residual strength, R(n), initially equals the static strength, R_0 (it is also assumed to be a monotonically decreasing function of fatigue cycles, $n, n \ge N$),
- the residual strength, R(n), the static strength, R_0 , and the final cycle number, N, are assumed to be the Weibull scale parameters (i.e., they are defined as the 63.2 percentile of their respective distribution functions),
- the Weibull shape parameter, B_f , is a linear decreasing function of fatigue cycles (i.e., the residual strength distribution range of values becomes monotonically wider during fatigue),
- the failure occurs when the residual strength, R(n), equals the peak stress, S_{max} ,

- the stress ratio, $r_s = S_{min}/S_{max}$, is constant during fatigue, and
- the residual strength relation is given by a power law, which is defined by the rate of strength loss, $f(R_0, r_s, S_{max})$, and the strength degradation parameter, v.

The strength degradation parameter v can characterize a wide variety of the degradation rates of material properties under fatigue loading. In the case when v < 1, there is a rapid degradation of strength in the beginning of service life. Linear strength degradation corresponds to v = 1. The case when v >> 1 is characterized by the "sudden death" behavior. The fatigue life predictions by the Schaff-Davidson model compare well with experimental results for low-high and high-low two-stress amplitude fatigue tests (Schaff and Davidson 1997b). The "cycle mix" effect of the changing loading sequences has been taken into account by introducing a "cycle mix factor" (Schaff and Davidson 1997b). It is a scale parameter used for the degradation of the residual strength during the transition cycles. As a result, the model can be also used to simulate the pulse loading conditions. Probabilistic sensitivity factors can be also used to account for uncertainty in the performance and durability evaluation of composite structures (Chamis 1999).

6. Recommendations for ARL LCF Program

The LCF conditions are unique to many Army land combat systems and are not well understood. Army engineers need to develop a clear physical understanding of LCF and the effects of material microstructure on fatigue damage processes, a methodology for LCF characterization of PMCs, and novel LCF models for optimization of designs and realistic service life prediction of Army combat systems (e.g., gun components, integral armor, rotorcraft applications, etc.). The broad range of fatigue problems encountered in Army structural applications (see section 2) can be addressed only by a comprehensive research and development program. Significant organizational and research efforts should be focused on establishing a knowledge base and infrastructure for a coordinated ARL LCF Program. Such a program will enable ARL engineers to meet current and future Army needs for solutions to numerous fatigue related problems in design and repair of composite structures.

The conceptual framework for the ARL LCF Program has to reflect the Army needs for the design-for-fatigue methodology and robust predictive capabilities for microstructural design optimization and LCF life prediction of structures which have thick sections and complex anisotropic microstructure. In order to develop reliable LCF models, the effects of fiber-matrix interphase and adhesive joints in hybrid composites have to be accounted for. These issues are

unique to mechanics of composite materials and have not been examined in the studies dealing with fatigue of metals. The effects of chemical and hydro-thermal environment on material degradation processes and accumulation of damage also have unique features which are specific to composite materials used by the Army.

- **6.1 Recommendations for Design-for-Fatigue Research.** Section 2.1 includes some recommendations for future research concerning design methodologies, which can be summarized as follows.
 - Assess the structural stress analysis data on specific Army composite structures in order to identify the critical structural elements, the types of critical loads, and expected damage and failure modes.
 - Carry out experimental fatigue testing of specific composite materials used in Army land combat systems. Such experimental characterization would yield S-N curves, residual strength data, stiffness degradation rates, etc.
 - Develop methodologies for fatigue life assessment based on either the experimentally determined property degradation rates or phenomenological fatigue life prediction models involving initial damage criteria or one-cycle fracture analysis.
 - Implement phenomenological fatigue models into the LAMPAT's structural analysis capabilities. Develop design methodologies that would include an assessment of fatigue performance based on engineering fatigue analysis.
 - Develop new phenomenological and micromechanical fatigue modeling capabilities that can account for microstructural effects such as interphasial effects, interfacial damage, stacking sequence effects, etc.
 - Evaluate current state-of-the-art fatigue simulation technology such as MRLife simulation code (see Appendix), which is suited for fatigue performance analysis of a wide variety of polymer and ceramic composite systems. The use of MRLife code would accelerate the progress in the design-for-fatigue efforts.
 - Assess the possibility of linking the modeling capabilities of MRLife fatigue performance simulation code with the structural analysis capabilities of commercial FEA codes (e. g., ANSYS, ABAQUS, etc.) and with composites-specific postprocessing software programs like LAMPAT.

- **6.2 Recommendations for the LCF Characterization.** Section 2.2 includes some recommendations for future research concerning LCF for the ARL LCF Program, which can be summarized as follows.
 - Assess the effect of high loads and various strain rates on the transient stress distributions in critical structural elements of Army composite structures. Characterize the associated nonlinear material behavior and identify expected damage and failure modes.
 - Perform experimental fatigue testing of test coupons representing the critical structural elements and carry out detailed nondestructive monitoring of fatigue damage accumulation processes for cyclic loading above 50% of the ultimate strength.
 - Develop conceptual maps for physical understanding of the mechanisms and phenomena associated with fatigue-induced failure in PMCs under LCF conditions.
 - Evaluate the effects of LCF conditions on typical damage mechanisms and the kinetics of damage accumulation processes.
 - Examine evolution of ballistic damage under LCF conditions, develop models for LCF assessment of ballistically damaged PMC structures, and validate these models.
 - Develop novel LCF/PVF models accounting for fiber-matrix interphase effects and realistic service life prediction methodology for various Army composite systems.
 - Develop a design optimization methodology based on service life prediction capabilities for Army structures under LCF conditions.
- 6.3 Recommendations for Investigation of Thick-Section Composites. The thick-section PMCs are unique to Army systems and should serve as a focal point for the ARL LCF Program. Section 2.3 includes some recommendations for future studies of thick-section composites, which can be summarized as follows.
 - Identify critical thick-section structural elements in Army land combat systems and assess the types of critical loads and expected damage and failure modes. This assessment should be based on the structural stress analysis data for specific Army composite structures.

- Identify similarities and differences in mechanical behavior of the thick-section structures in Army applications and other thick-section homogeneous and heterogeneous structures used in industry. This analysis should be based on existing theoretical and experimental data.
- Select appropriate test fixtures that could be scaled up and used for comprehensive fatigue characterization of the test coupons representing the critical thick-section structural elements of interest to Army.
- Identify nondestructive damage evaluation techniques (e.g., x-rays, computed tomography, acoustic wave scattering, infrared thermal imaging, and embedded fiber optics), which would be appropriate for detailed nondestructive monitoring of fatigue damage accumulation in the thick-section composites.
- Characterize different types of fatigue damage, and their unique features, and determine the dominant fatigue damage mechanisms in the thick-section composites.
- Develop various "damage healing" techniques (e.g., heating of magnetic microscopic particles under loading) for different types of fatigue damage in the thick-section composites, especially for interlaminar delamination.
- Develop conceptual maps describing the accumulation processes of fatigue damage in the thick-section composites under a wide range of loading conditions.
- Identify phenomenological fatigue models that can predict the stiffness degradation rates and fatigue life of the thick-section composites.
- Develop design optimization methodologies that would include an assessment of fatigue performance based on engineering fatigue analysis of the thick-section composite structures.
- Develop new phenomenological and micromechanical fatigue modeling capabilities that can account for microstructural effects in the thick-section structures (e.g., interphasial effects, interfacial damage, stacking sequence effects, etc.).
- **6.4 Recommendations for Studies of Interphasial Effects on LCF.** The ARL LCF Program will help ARL engineers to meet the AAN goals if the full potential of the "material-by-design" approach is realized. Section 2.4 includes some recommendations for research concerning the effects of mechanical properties of the fiber-matrix interphases on LCF behavior of PMCs

and damage accumulation of composite structures. These recommendations can be summarized as follows.

- Develop experimental methodology and an appropriate data reduction scheme for characterization of the fiber-matrix interphase properties around an individual fiber under various strain rates. A new test apparatus called DILA and developed by Tanoglu et al. (to be published) can be employed.
- Develop a physical understanding of the energy absorption mechanisms activated in the interphasial region between a fiber and the matrix (e.g., interfacial debonding, interfacial void growth, fiber push-out, and frictional fiber sliding).
- Characterize the effect of energy-absorbing capabilities of the fiber-matrix interphase on the LCF and ballistic performance of typical Army land combat composite structures.
- Develop models describing various energy-absorbing mechanisms activated in the fibermatrix interphase and predict the possible effects on the LCF fatigue performance of composites.
- Develop a physical understanding of the matrix toughening mechanisms activated around rubber or thermoplastic particles in the matrix (e.g., interfacial debonding, interfacial void growth, plastic shear localization, and other).
- Develop techniques for "interfacial damage healing" (e.g., heating of magnetic microscopic particles distributed around fibers) for different types of PMCs.
- Develop models describing various matrix toughening mechanisms activated in around particles in the matrix and predict the possible effects on the LCF fatigue performance of composites.
- 6.5 Recommendations for Studies of Adhesive Joints. Section 2.5 includes some recommendations for investigation of the role of adhesive joints in composites, which can be summarized as follows.
 - Identify the adhesive joints between dissimilar composite materials which are critical for ballistic and LCF performance of specific Army land combat systems. This assessment should be based on the structural stress analysis of specific Army structures.

- Develop models describing the effects of adhesive joints on the ballistic damage tolerance of various Army land combat systems.
- Develop phenomenological and micromechanical fatigue models that can describe the LCF mechanics of damaged hybrid structures and predict the LCF performance of damaged composite systems.
- Develop experimental methodology and an appropriate data reduction scheme for characterization of the energy absorption capabilities of various adhesive joints under the strain rates encountered during a ballistic impact or in LCF conditions.
- Select appropriate test fixtures that can be used for fatigue and fracture toughness characterization of the test coupons representing the damaged and repaired structural elements.
- Characterize different types of fatigue damage, damage evolution rates, and determine the dominant fatigue damage mechanisms in the damaged and repaired composites.
- Develop conceptual maps describing the accumulation processes of fatigue damage in the damaged and repaired composites under a wide range of loading conditions.
- Develop phenomenological and micromechanical fatigue models that can be used to predict the stiffness degradation rates and fatigue life of the damaged and repaired composite systems.
- 6.6 Recommendations for Investigation of Environmental Effects. Section 2.6 includes some recommendations for future studies of environmental effects on composites, which can be summarized as follows.
 - Identify the possible ranges of temperatures and humidity levels and types of chemical environment to which typical Army land combat systems may be exposed to during service life.
 - Identify typical groups of physical/chemical conditions for various Army systems to determine whether the mechanical fatigue loading and ballistic impacts will be complemented with thermomechanical loads, moisture effects, chemical corrosion, mechanical wear, etc.

- Develop experimental methodology for fatigue testing of composite structures that have been exposed to various environmental conditions.
- Develop experimental testing fixtures for fatigue characterization of composite structures that are being exposed to various environmental conditions.
- Develop models describing the effects of material heterogeneity, re-existing defects and developing interfacial and matrix cracking on the absorption and propagation of moisture.
- Develop models describing the effects of material heterogeneity such as existing defects and developing interfacial and matrix cracking on the degradation of mechanical properties due to the moist or chemically active environment.
- Perform experimental and theoretical characterization of beneficial effects caused by the use of various protective coatings on the reduction of absorption and propagation of moisture.

7. References

- Adams, D. S., D. E. Bowles, and C. T. Herakovich. "Thermally Induced Transverse Cracking in Graphite-Epoxy Cross-Ply Laminates." *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 5, pp. 152–160, 1986.
- Akshantala, N. V., and R. Talreja. "A Mechanistic Model for Fatigue Damage Evolution in Composite Laminates." *Mechanics of Materials*, vol. 29, pp. 123–140, 1998.
- Arnold, S. M., and S. Kruch. "A Differential CDM Model for Fatigue of Unidirectional Metal Matrix Composites." *NASA Technical Memorandum 105726*, NASA Langley, Hampton, VA, 1991.
- Arnold, S. M., and T. E. Wilt. "A Deformation and Life Prediction of a Circumferentially Reinforced SiC/Ti-15-3 Ring." *Reliability, Stress Analysis and Failure Prevention*, ASME-DE, vol. 55, pp. 231–238, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- Bailey, J. E., P. T. Curtis, and A. Parvizi. "On the Transverse Cracking and Local Longitudinal Splitting Behavior of Glass and Carbon Fibre Epoxy Cross-Ply Laminates and the Effect of Poisson and Thermally Generated Strains." *Proceedings of Royal Society of London*, vol. A366, pp. 599-609, London, 1979.
- Bailey, J. E., and A. Parvizi. "On Fibre Debonding Effects and the Mechanism of Transverse Ply Failure in Cross-Ply Laminates of Glass/Fibre/Thermoset Composite." Journal of Material Science, vol. 16, pp. 649-656, 1981.
- Bakis, C. E., and W. W. Stinchcomb. "Response of Thick, Notched Laminates Subjected to Tension-Compression Cyclic Loads." ASTM-STP-907, pp. 314–334, American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
- Barbat, A. H., S. Oller, E. Onate, and A. Hanganu. "Viscous Damage Model for Timoshenko Beam Structures." *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 34, pp. 3953–3976, 1997.
- Barnes, B. "Bond and Low Cycle Fatigue Behavior of Thermoset Composite Reinforcing for the Concrete Industry." Report No. DE91000201/XAB, Ames Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Ames, IW, 1990.

- Bathe, K.J. Finite Element Procedures in Engineering Analysis. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1982.
- Becker, R., A. Needleman, O. Richmond, and V. Tvergaard. "Void Growth and Failure in Notched Bars." *Journal of Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, vol. 36, pp. 317–351, 1988.
- Berglund, L. A., J. Varna, and J. Yuan. "Transverse Cracking and Local Delamination in [0/90] Carbon Fiber/Toughened Epoxy Laminates." *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 11, pp. 643–655, June 1992.
- Bingham, E. C. Fluidity and Plasticity. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1922.
- Bogetti, T. A., J. W. Gillespie, Jr., and M. A. Lamontia. "The Influence of Ply Waviness With Nonlinear Shear on the Stiffness and Strength Reduction of Composite Laminates." ARL-TR-585, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1995.
- Bogetti, T. A., C. P. R. Hoppel, and B. P. Burns. "LAMPAT: A Software Tool for Analyzing and Designing Thick Laminated Composite Structures." ARL-TR-890, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1995.
- Bridgman, P. W. Dimensional Analysis. New Haven, CT: Yale University, 1922.
- Broutman, L. J., and S. Sahu. "Progressive Damage of a Glass Reinforced Plastic During Fatigue." *Proceedings of 24th SPI Conference*, 11D, New York, NY, 1969.
- Budiansky, B., A. G. Evans, and J. W. Hutchinson. "Fiber-Matrix Debonding Effects on Cracking in Aligned Fiber Ceramic Composites." *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 315–328, 1995.
- Budiansky, B., J. W. Hutchinson, and S. Slutsky. "Void Growth and Collapse in Viscous Solids." *Mechanics of Solids*, pp. 13-45, H. Hopkins and M. Sewell (editors), Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1982.
- Burns, B. "Development of Multifunctional Composite Systems for Army Applications." 13th ASC Technical Conference, Baltimore, MD, 1998.
- Burr, A., F. Hild, and F. A. Leckie. "Micro-Mechanics and Continuum Damage Mechanics." Archive of Applied Mechanics, vol. 65, pp. 437–456, 1995.

- Carlsson, L. A., and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. (editors). *Delaware Composites Design Encyclopedia*. Lancaster, PA: Technomic Publishing Co., 1990.
- Case, S. W., and K. L. Reifsnider. MRLife11 A Strength and Life Prediction Code for Laminated Composite Materials. Materials Response Group, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, 1998.
- Cervenka, A. "Interphases and Their Effect on the Stress Field in Composites." Part 1: The Role of an Anisotropic Interphase." *Composite Interfaces*, vol. 3, pp. 135–167, 1995.
- Chaboche, J. L. "CDM A Tool to Describe Phenomena Before Crack Initiation." *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, vol. 64, pp. 233–247, 1981.
- Chamis, C. C. "Simplified Composite Micromechanics Equations for Strength, Fracture Toughness, Impact Resistance and Environmental Effects." NASA Technical Memorandum 83696, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, OH, 1984.
- Chamis, C. C. "Probabilistic Evaluation of Composite Performance and Durability." *Composites* 2000: An International Symposium on Composite Materials, pp. 43-44, University of Delaware, 5-7 October, Newark, DE, 1999.
- Chaphalkar, P. "Performance Evaluation and Modeling of Twill Woven Laminates." Ph.D. thesis, North Carolina A&T State University, 1998.
- Chen, T. K., C. G. Davila, and D. J. Baker. "Analysis of Tile-Reinforced Composite Armor. Part 2: Viscoelastic Response Modeling." 21st Army Science Conference, Norfolk, VA, June 1998.
- Chou, P. C., J. Carleone, and C. M. Hsu. "Elastic Constants of Layered Media." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 6, pp. 80–93, 1972.
- Christensen, R. M. "Effective Moduli of Cylindrical and Lamellar Systems." *Mechanics of Composite Materials*, pp. 73–105, New York: Wiley, 1979.
- Chu, Y. C., and S. I. Rokhlin. "Effective Elastic Moduli of Fiber-Matrix Interphases in High-Temperature Composites." *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions*, Series A, vol. 27, pp. 165–182, 1996.

- Clyne, T. W., and M. C. Watson. "Interfacial Mechanics of the Fiber-Reinforced Metals." Composites Science and Technology, vol. 42, pp. 25-55, 1991.
- Coffin, C. F., Jr. (editor). *Manual on Low Cycle Fatigue Testing*. ASTM-STP-465, American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1969.
- Coffin, L. F., and H. C. Rogers. "Influence of Pressure on the Structural Damage in Metal Forming Processes." *Transactions of ASM*, vol. 60, pp. 672–686, 1967.
- Crossman, F. W., and A. S. D. Wang. "The Dependence of Transverse Cracking and Delamination on Ply Thickness in Graphite/Epoxy Laminates." *Damage of Composite Materials*, pp. 118–128, K. L. Reifsnider (editor), ASTM-STP-775, American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1982.
- Crossman, F. W., W. J. Warren, A. S. D. Wang, and G. E. Law. "Initiation and Growth of Transverse Cracks and Edge Delamination in Composite Laminates. Part 2: Experimental Correlation." *Journal of Composite Materials (Supplement)*, vol. 14, pp. 88–96, 1980.
- Davila, C. G., T.-K. Chen, and D. J. Baker. "Analysis of Tile-Reinforced Composite Armor. Part 1: Advanced Modeling and Strength Analyses." 21st Army Science Conference, Norfolk, VA, June 1998.
- Delale, F., and F. Erdogan. "On the Mechanical Modeling of the Interfacial Region in Bonded Half-Planes." *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 55, pp. 317–324, 1988.
- DiAnselmo, A., M. L. Accorsi, and A. T. DiBenedetto. "The Effect of Interphase on the Stress and Energy Distribution in the Embedded Single Fiber Test." *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 44, pp. 215–225, 1992.
- Drucker, D. C. Introduction to Mechanics of Deformable Solids. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- Drzal, L. T. "Composite Interphase Characterization." *SAMPE Journal*, pp. 7-13, September/October, 1983.
- Drzal, L. T. "The Interphase in Epoxy Composites." Advances in Polymer Science, vol. 75, pp. 1-32, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- Dvorak, G. J., and N. Laws. "Analysis of Progressive Matrix Cracking in Composite Laminates First Ply Failure." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 21, pp. 309–319, 1987.

- Dzenis, Y. A. "Stochastic Damage Evolution Modeling in Laminates." *Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials*, vol. 9, pp. 21–34, 1996.
- Dzenis, Y. A., S. P. Joshi, and A. E. Bogdanovich. "Damage Analysis of Laminates Under Cyclic Loading." *International Conference on Composite Materials*, ICCM-9, London, 1993.
- Ericksen, J. L. (editor). *Homogenization and Effective Moduli of Materials and Media*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- Erikson, P. W., and E. P. Plueddemann. "Mechanics of Load Transfer at the Interface." *Composite Materials*, vol. 6, pp. 1–31, E. P. Plueddemann (editor), New York, NY: Academic Press, 1974.
- Eshelby, J. D. "The Determination of the Elastic Field of an Ellipsoidal Inclusion and Related Problems." *Proceedings of Royal Society of London*, Series A, vol. 241, pp. 376–390, 1957.
- Fink, B. K., and K. Corona-Bittick. "Embedded Fiber Optic Sensors for Integral Armor." Technical Report, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, to be published.
- Fink, B. K., and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. "Non-Polluting Composites Repair and Remanufacturing for Military Applications: Co-Injection Resin Transfer Molding." Technical Report, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, to be published.
- Fink, B. K., and R. L. McCullough. "Interphase Research Issues." Composites. Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, vol. 30, pp. 1-2, 1999.
- Fink, B. K., S. H. McKnight, and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. "Co-Injection Resin Transfer Molding for Integral Armor." Proceedings of the 21st Army Science Conference, Norfolk, VA, June 1998.
- Fishman, S. G. "Control of Interfacial Behavior in Structural Composites." *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Composite Materials*, pp. 19–23, SAMPE, Honolulu, 1991.
- Flaggs, D. L. "Prediction of Tensile Matrix Failure in Composite Laminates." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 19, pp. 29–39, 1985.

- Flores, F., T. A. Bogetti, B. K. Fink, D. Heider, and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. "Experimental Investigation for Validation of the Thermo-Mechanical Response of Vinyl Ester Resin." Proceedings of the 13th Annual Technical Conference on Composite Materials, pp. 802–814, American Society for Composites, Baltimore, MD, 21–23 September, 1998.
- Fukunaga, H., T. W. Chou, W. M. Peters, and K. Schulte. "Probabalistic Failure Strength Analysis of Graphite/Epoxy Cross-Ply Laminates." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 18, pp. 339–349, 1984.
- Gamstedt, E. K., and R. Talreja. "Fatigue Damage Mechanisms in Unidirectional Carbon-Fibre-Reinforced Plastics." *Journal of Material Science*, to be published.
- Gao, Z., and K. L. Reifsnider. "Micromechanics of Tensile Strength in Composite Systems."
 Composite Materials: Fatigue and Fracture, vol. 4, pp. 453-470, ASTM-STP-1156,
 W. W. Stinchcomb and N. E. Ashbaugh (editors), American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- Garrett, K. W., and J. E. Bailey. "Multiple Transverse Fracture in 90 Degree Cross-Ply Laminates of Glass Fiber-Reinforced Polyester." *Journal of Materials Science*, vol. 12, pp. 157–167, 1977.
- Gibson, R. F. Principles of Composite Material Mechanics. New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 1994.
- Gillespie, Jr., J. W., and U. Hansen. "Transverse Cracking of Composite Laminates With Interleaves: A Variational Approach." *Key Engineering Materials*, vol. 121, pp. 521–548, 1996.
- Grabovsky, Y., and R. V. Kohn. "Microstructures Minimizing the Energy of a Two-Phase Elastic Composite in Two Space Dimensions: The Vigdergauz Microstructure." *Journal of Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, vol. 43, pp. 949–972, 1995.
- Hahn, H. T. "Fatigue Behavior and Life Prediction of Composite Laminates." *Testing and Design, Fifth ASTM Conference*, ASTM-STP-674, pp. 383-417, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1979.
- Han, Y. M., H. T. Hahn, and R. B. Croman. "A Simplified Analysis of Transverse Ply Cracking in Cross-Ply Laminates." Composites Science and Technology, vol. 31, pp. 165-175, 1987.

- Harik, V. M. "Experimental and Theoretical Studies of Interfacial Effects in Multiphase Media." Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 1997.
- Harik, V. M., and R. A. Cairncross. "Evolution of Interfacial Voids Around a Cylindrical Inclusion." *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 66, pp. 310–314, June 1999.
- Harik, V. M., J. R. Klinger, B. K. Fink, T. A. Bogetti, A. Paesano, and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. "Low Cycle Fatigue of Unidirectional Glass/Epoxy Composites." *Proceedings of the ASME Symposium on Durability and Damage Tolerance in Composite Materials and Structures*, in "Damage and Durability of Composite Materials and Structures," AMD-vol. 232, MD-vol. 86, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Philadelphia, PA, 1999.
- Harik, V. M., and J. Lambros. "Modelling of Interphase Effects on Local Stress Distributions in Composites." *Mathematical Modelling and Scientific Computing*, vol. 8, to be published.
- Harris, D. O., and D. Dedhia. *User Manual: Non-Linear Smartcrack 1.0.* Engineering Mechanics Technology Inc., Palo Alto, CA, 1997.
- Hashin, Z. "Analysis of Cracked Laminates: A Variational Approach." *Mechanics of Materials*, vol. 4, pp. 121-131, 1985.
- Hashin, Z. "Analysis of Stiffness Reduction of Cracked Cross-Ply Laminates." *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 25, pp. 771–781, 1986.
- Hashin, Z. "The Spherical Inclusion With Imperfect Interface." *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 53, pp. 444–449, 1991.
- Hill, R. The Mathematical Theory of Plasticity. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1950.
- Holmes, G. A., R. C. Peterson, D. L. Hunston, W. G. McDonough, and C. L. Schutte. "The Effect of Nonlinear Viscoelasticity on Interfacial Shear Strength Measurements." National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1999.
- Hoppel, C. P. R., R. N. Pangborn, and R. W. Thomson. "Damage Accumulation During Multiple Stress Level Fatigue of Short Glass Fiber Reinforced Styrene-Maleic Anhydride." Proceedings of the 13th Annual Technical Conference on Composite Materials, American Society for Composites, Baltimore, MD, 21–23 September, 1998.

- Hrivnak, J. "Optimization of the Surface Free Energy on Carbon Fibers and Its Effect on Interphase Formation." Ph.D. thesis, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 1996.
- Hughes, J. D. H. "The Carbon Fibre/Epoxy Interface A Review." Composites Science and Technology, vol. 41, pp. 13-45, 1991.
- Hull, D. An Introduction to Composite Materials. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.
- Hwang, W., and K. S. Han. "Fatigue of Composites-Fatigue Modulus Concept and Life Prediction." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 20, pp. 154–165, 1986.
- Jasiuk, I., and M. W. Kouider. "The Effect of an Inhomogeneous Interphase on the Elastic Constants of Transversely Isotropic Composites." Mechanics of Materials, vol. 15, pp. 53-63, 1993.
- Jayaraman, K., and K. L. Reifsnider. "The Interphase in Unidirectional Fiber-Reinforced Epoxies: Effect on Residual Thermal Stresses." *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 47, pp. 119–129, 1993.
- Jones, R. M. Mechanics of Composite Materials. New York, NY: Hemisphere Publishing Co., 1975.
- Joseph, D. D. Fluid Dynamics of Viscoelastic Liquids. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- Kachanov, L. M. "Time of the Rupture Process Under Creep Conditions." *Izvestia Akademii Nauk S.S.S.R, Otdel Tekhnicheskikh Nauk*, vol. 8, pp. 26–31, 1958 (in Russian).
- Kachanov, L. M. Introduction to Continuum Damage Mechanics. Boston, MA: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1986.
- Kaliakin, V. N. Introduction to Approximate Solution Techniques With Emphasis on Finite Element Method. Newark, DE: Copy Maven, 1996.
- Keer, L. M., J. Dundurs, and K. Kiattikomol. "Separation of a Smooth Circular Inclusion From a Matrix." *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 11, pp. 1221–1233, 1973.
- Kharik, V. M. "Response of Composites Under Compression Loads: Finite Element Modeling." Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Materials, vol. 8, pp. 29–40, 1997.

- Kim, J.-K., and Y.-W. Mai. "High Strength, High Fracture Toughness Fiber Composites With Interface Control a Review." *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 41, pp. 333-378, 1991.
- Kim, J.K., and Y.W. Mai. Engineered Interfaces in Fiber Reinforced Composites. Oxford: Elsevier, 1998.
- Kim, T. W., H. J. Kim, and S. Im. "Delamination Crack Originating From Transverse Cracking in Cross-Ply Composite Laminates Under Extension." *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 27, pp. 1925–1935, 1991.
- Koplik, J., and A. Needleman. "Void Growth and Coalescence in Porous Plastic Solids." International Journal of Solids and Structures, vol. 24, pp. 835–853, 1988.
- Korczynsky, K., and J. G. Morley. "Constrained Cracking in Cross-Ply Laminates." *Journal of Materials Science*, vol. 16, pp. 1785–1795, 1981.
- Krajcinovic, D. "Damage Mechanics." Mechanics of Materials, vol. 8, pp. 117–197, 1989.
- Lagache, M., A. Agbossou, J. Pastor, and D. Miller. "Role of Interphase on the Elastic Behavior of Composite Materials: Theoretical and Experimental Analysis." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 28, pp. 1140–1157, 1994.
- Landau, L. D., and E. M. Lifshitz. Theory of Elasticity. New York: Pergamon Press, 1986.
- Lekhnitskii, S. G. Anisotropic Plates. Translated by S. W. Tsai and T. Cheron. London: Gordon and Breach, 1968.
- Lemaitre, J. A Course on Damage Mechanics. Berlin: Springer, 1992.
- Lemaitre, J. "How to Use Damage Mechanics." Nuclear Engineering Design, vol. 80, pp. 233-245, 1984.
- Lee, B. J., and M. E. Mear. "Studies of the Growth and Collapse of Voids in Viscous Solids." *Transactions of the ASME*, vol. 116, pp. 348–358, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, July 1994.
- Lee, Y., and T. Batt. "An Investigation of Void Formation on a Bonded Interface of Power Law Creep Materials Containing a Cylindrical Particle." *Acta Mechanica*, vol. 79, pp. 183–197, 1989.

- Lee, Y. S., and P. R. Dawson. "Modeling Ductile Void Growth in Viscoplastic Materials Part I: Void Growth Model." *Mechanics of Materials*, vol. 15, pp. 21–34, 1993.
- Lesko, J. J., A. Rau, and J. S. Riffle. "The Effect of Interphase Properties on the Durability of Woven Carbon/Vinyl Ester Matrix Composites." *Proceedings of the 10th ASC Technical Conference*, 18–20 October, Blacksburg, VA, 1995.
- Li, J., and V. N. Kaliakin. "Numerical Simulation of Interfaces in Geomaterials: Development of New Zero-Thickness Interface Elements." *Civil Engineering TR 93-6*, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, July 1993.
- Lorenzo, L., and H. T. Hahn. "Fatigue Failure Mechanisms in Unidirectional Composites." Composite Materials: Fatigue and Fracture, ASTM-STP-907, pp. 210-232, H. T. Hahn (editor), American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
- Love, A. E. H. The Mathematical Theory of Elasticity. New York, NY: Dover, 1944.
- Low, B. Y., S. D. Gardner, C. U. Pittman, and R. M. Hacket. "A Micromechanical Characterization of Graphite-Fiber/Epoxy Composites Containing a Heterogeneous Interphase Region." *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 52, pp. 589–606, 1995.
- Lutz, M. P., and R. W. Zimmerman. "Effect of the Interphase Zone on the Bulk Modulus of a Particulate Composite." *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 63, pp. 855–861, 1996.
- Mahfuz, H., M. Maniruzzaman, J. Krishnagopalan, A. Haque, M. Ismail, and S. Jeelani. "Fatigue Damage and Effects of Stress Ratio on the Fatigue Life of Carbon-Carbon Composites." *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 24, pp. 21–31, 1995.
- Mahfuz, H., A. Haque, D. Yu, and S. Jeelani. "Response of Resin Transfer Molded (RTM) Composites Under Reversed Cyclic Loading." ASME Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology, vol. 118, pp. 49–57, 1996.
- Mandell, J. F. "Fatigue Behavior of Fiber-Resin Composites." Research Report R81-2, Defense Technical Information Center, U.S. Department of Defense, Arlington, VA, 1981.

- Mandell, J. F., D. H. Grande, T. H. Tsiang, and F. J. McGarry. "A Modified Microdebonding Test for Direct In-Situ Fiber/Matrix Bond Strength Determination in Fiber Composites." ASTM D30 Symposium on Composite Materials: Testing and Design, 7th Conference, American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1984.
- Manders, P. W., T. W. Chou, F. R. Jones, and J. W. Rock. "Statistical Analysis of Multiple Fracture in [0/90/0] Glass Fiber/Epoxy Resin Laminates." *Journal of Materials Science*, vol. 19, pp. 2876–2886, 1983.
- Monib, A., X. Huang, J. W. Gillespie, Jr., B. K. Fink, and G. Thomas. "Defect Criticality of Composite Laminates Subjected to Ballistic Impact." *Journal of Composite Materials*, to be published.
- Nadai, A. Theory of Flow and Fracture of Solids. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1950.
- Nath, R. B., D. N. Fenner, and C. Galiotis. "Finite Element Modelling of Interfacial Failure in Model Carbon Fibre-Epoxy Composites." *Journal of Material Science*, vol. 31, pp. 2879–2883, 1996.
- Needleman, A. "Void Growth in Elastic-Plastic Medium." *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 39, pp. 964–970, 1972.
- Needleman, A. "A Continuum Model for Void Nucleation by Inclusion Debonding." *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 54, pp. 525–531, 1987.
- O'Brien, T. K. "Delamination of Composite Materials." Fatigue of Composite Materials, Composite Materials Series, vol. 4, pp. 181–198, K. L. Reifsnider (editor), New York, NY: Elsevier, 1991.
- O'Brien, T. K. "Local Delamination in Laminates With Angle Ply Matrix Cracks. Part II: Delamination Fracture Analysis and Fatigue Characterization." *Composite Materials: Fatigue and Fracture*, vol. IV, ASTM-STP-1156, W. W. Stinchcomb and N. E. Ashbaugh (editors), pp. 507–517, American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- O'Brien, T. K., N. J. Johnston, D. H. Morris, and R. A. Simonds. "A Simple Test for the Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of Composites." *SAMPE Journal*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 8–18, 1982.

- O'Brien, T. K., P. Minguet, M. Cvitkovich, R. Krueger, and S. Owsley. "Skin/Stringer Disbonding in Reinforced Composite Panels." *Technical Program Summary of the Army Research Lab Vehicle Technology Center*, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, 1998.
- Ogin, S. L., and P. A. Smith. "Model for Matrix Cracking in Cross-Ply Laminates." *ESA Journal*, vol. 11, pp. 45–55, 1987.
- Owen, M. J. "Fatigue Damage in Glass-Fiber-Reinforced Plastics." *Composite Materials:* Fracture and Fatigue, vol. 5, L. J. Boutman (editor), Chapter 7, New York, NY: Academic Press, 1974.
- Oyama, H. T., S. Davis, J. P. Wightman, and J. S. Riffle. "Interdiffusion at the Interface Between Poly-Vinyl Pyrollidone and Vinyl Ester." Polymer Preprints, *Proceedings of the 1996 AChS Meeting*, Orlando, FL, 1996.
- Paesano, A., I. C. Visconti, and M. Penasa. "An Investigation of Antiwear Coatings on Fibre-Reinforced Plastics." *Composites Manufacturing*, vol. 3, pp. 7–13, 1992.
- Palmese, G. R. "Origin and Influence of Interphase Material Property Gradients in Thermosetting Composites." Ph.D. thesis, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 1992.
- Palmese, G. R., and R. L. McCullough. "Kinetic and Thermodynamic Considerations Regarding Interphase Formation in Thermosetting Composite Systems." *Journal of Adhesion*, vol. 44, pp. 29–49, 1994.
- Palmese, G. R., R. L. McCullough, and N. R. Sottos. "Relationship Between Interphase Composition, Material Properties, and Residual Thermal Stresses in Composite Materials." *Journal of Adhesion*, vol. 52, pp. 101–113, 1995.
- Pan, M. J., D. J. Green, and J. R. Hellmann. "Influence of Interfacial Microcracks on the Elastic Properties of Composites." *Journal of Material Science*, vol. 31, pp. 3179–3184, 1996.
- Parvizi, A., and J. E. Bailey. "On Multiple Transverse Cracking in Glass-Fiber Epoxy Cross-Ply laminates." *Journal of Materials Science*, vol. 13, pp. 2131–2141, 1978.
- Patton, E. M., and M. H. Santare. "Crack Path Prediction Near an Elliptical Inclusion." Engineering Fracture Mechanics, vol. 44, pp. 195–205, 1993.

- Piggott, M. R. "Micromechanics of Fibre-Polymer Interfaces." *Interfacial Phenomena in Composite Materials*, I. Verpoest and F. Jones (editors), pp. 3–8, Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1991.
- Pipes, R. B. and N. J. Pagano. "Interlaminar Stresses in Composite Laminates Under Uniform Axial Extension." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 4, pp. 538–548, 1970.
- Pook, L. P. "On Fatigue-Crack Paths." *International Journal of Fatigue*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 5–13, 1995.
- Pook, L. P. "Determination of Fatigue Crack Paths." *International Journal for Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 469–475, 1997.
- Pook, L. P., and M. J. Podbury. "A Failure Mechanism Map for Angle Notch Charpy Tests on a Mild Steel." *International Journal of Fracture*, vol. 90, nos. 1–2, pp. L3–L8, 1998.
- Poursartip, A., M. F. Ashby, and P. W. R. Beaumont. "The Fatigue Damage Mechanics of a Carbon Fibre Composite Laminate: I Development of the Model." *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 25, pp. 193–218, 1986.
- Reifsnider, K. L. "Some Fundamental Aspects of the Fatigue and Fracture Response of Composite Materials." *Proceedings of the 14th Annual Meeting of SES*, Bethlehem, PA, 1977.
- Reifsnider, K. L. (editor). *Damage in Composite Materials*. ASTM-STP-775, American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1982.
- Reifsnider, K. L. (editor). *Fatigue of Composite Materials*. Composite Materials Series, vol. 4. London: Elsevier, 1991.
- Reifsnider, K. L. "Modelling of the Interphase in Polymer-Matrix Composite Material System." *Composites*, no. 7, pp. 461–469, 1994.
- Rice, J. R., J. W. Rudnicki, and D. A. Simons. "Deformation of Spherical Cavities and Inclusions in Fluid-Infiltrated Elastic Materials." *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 14, pp. 289–303, 1978.
- Rie, K.-T., and P. D. Portella (editors). Low Cycle Fatigue and Elasto-Plastic Behavior of Materials. Oxford: Elsevier, 1998.

- Salpekar, S. A., and T. K. O'Brien. "Combined Effect of Matrix Cracking and Free Edge Delamination." *Composite Materials: Fatigue and Fracture*, pp. 287–311, T. K. O'Brien (editor), American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1991.
- Sasaki, K. "Estimation of Low Cycle Fatigue Failure and Microscopic Change of CFRP Observation of AE Measurement and Microscopic View of CFRPs." *Journal of the Society of Material Science*, Japan, vol. 46, pp. 295–301, 1997.
- Schaff, J. R., and B. D. Davidson. "Life Prediction Methodology for Composite Structures. Part I: Constant Amplitude and Two-Stress Level Fatigue." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 31, pp. 128–157, 1997.
- Schaff, J. R., and B. D. Davidson. "Life Prediction Methodology for Composite Structures. Part II: Spectrum Fatigue." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 31, pp. 158–181, 1997.
- Sendeckyj, G. P. "Life Prediction for Resin-Matrix Composite Materials." *Composite Materials Series: Fatigue of Composites*, pp. 431–483, K. L. Reifsnider (editor), New York, NY: Elsevier, 1990.
- Shen, J., C. C. Chen, and J. A. Sauer. "Ductile Fracture in Fatigue of PMMA." *Polymer Communications*, vol. 24, pp. 167–170, 1983.
- Shorthall, J. B., and H. W. C. Yip. "The Interfacial Bond Strength in Glass-Fibre/Polyester Resin Composite Systems. Part 2: The Effect of Surface Treatment." *Journal of Adhesion*, vol. 8, pp. 155–169, 1976.
- Skourlis, T. P. "Structure and Properties of the Interphase in Coated Carbon Fiber Epoxy Systems." Ph.D. thesis, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 1995.
- Solomon, H. D., G. R. Halford, L. R. Kaisand, and B. N. Leis (editors). Low Cycle Fatigue, ASTM-STP-942, American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1987.
- Sottos, N. R. "The Influence of Interphase Regions on Local Thermal Stresses and Deformations in Composites." Ph.D. thesis, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 1990.
- Sottos, N. R., D. L. Hiemstra, and W. R. Scott. "Correlating Interphase Glass Transition and Interfacial Microcracking in Polymer Composites." *Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 25, ASTM-STP-1220, F. Erdogan and R. J. Hartranft (editors), American Society for Testing of Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1994.

- Sottos, N. R., L. Li, and G. Agrawal. "The Effects of Interphase Properties on Interfacial Shear Strength in Polymer Matrix Composites." *Journal of Adhesion*, vol. 45, pp. 105–124, 1994.
- Stinchcomb, W. W., and K. L. Reifsnider. "Fatigue Damage Mechanisms in Composite Materials." *Fatigue Mechanisms*, ASTM-STP-675, pp. 762–787, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1979.
- Subramanian, S., J. J. Lesko, K. L. Reifsnider, and W. W. Stinchcomb. "Characterization of the Fiber-Matrix Interphase and Its Influence on Mechanical Properties of Unidirectional Composites." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 309–332, 1996.
- Subramanian, S., K. L. Reifsnider, and W. W. Stinchcomb. "A Cumulative Damage Model to Predict the Fatigue Life of Composite Laminates Including the Effect of a Fibre-Matrix Interphase." *International Journal of Fatigue*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 343–351, 1995.
- Sun, C. T., and S. Li. "Three-Dimensional Effective Elastic Constants for Thick Laminates." Journal of Composite Materials, vol. 22, pp. 629–639, 1988.
- Sun, C. T., and W. C. Liao. "Analysis of Thick Section Composite Laminates Using Effective Moduli." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 24, pp. 977–993, 1990.
- Suresh, S., and A. Mortensen. "Fundamentals of Functionally Graded Materials." *IOM Communications*, Institute of Materials, London, 1998.
- Szabo, B., and I. Babuska. Finite Element Analysis. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, 1991.
- Talreja, R. Fatigue of Composite Materials. Lancaster, PA: Technomic, 1987.
- Talreja, R. "Internal Variable Damage Mechanics of Composite Materials Yielding." Damage and Failure of Anisotropic Solids, pp. 509–533, J. P. Boehler (editor), London: Mechanical Engineering Publications, 1990.
- Talreja, R. "Fatigue of Fiber Composites." *Materials Science and Technology*, vol. 13, pp. 584–607, T. W. Chou (editor), Weinheim: VCH, 1993.
- Talreja, R. (editor). Damage Mechanics of Composite Materials. London: Elsevier, 1994.

- Talreja, R. "A Synergistic Damage Mechanics Approach to Durability of Composite Material Systems." *Progress in Durability Analysis of Composite Systems*. Rotterdam: Balkerma, 1996.
- Talreja, R. "Damage Mechanics and Fatigue Life Assessment of Composite Materials."

 International Journal of Damage Mechanics, to be published.
- Tanimoto, T., and S. Amijima. "Progressive Nature of Fatigue Damage of Glass Fiber Reinforced Plastics." *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 9, pp. 380–390, 1975.
- Tanoglu, M., S. H. McKnight, G. R. Palmese, and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. "A New Technique to Characterize the Fiber/Matrix Interphase Properties Under High-Strain-Rates." Composites, Part A, to be published.
- Theocaris, P. S., and C. B. Demakos. "The Effect of Coatings With Smoothly Changing Transverse Rigidities on the Stiffness of Encapsulated Anisotropic Fiber Composites." Composites Science and Technology, vol. 54, pp. 23–34, 1995.
- Timoshenko, S. P., and J. N. Goodier. Theory of Elasticity. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1951.
- Tsai, S. W. "Durability of Bonded and Bolted Joints of Composite Laminates." Composites 2000: An International Symposium on Composite Materials, p. 16, University of Delaware, 57 October, Newark, DE, 1999.
- Tsai, H. C., A. M. Arocho, and L. W. Gause. "Prediction of Fiber-Matrix Interphase Properties and Their Influence on Interface Stress, Displacement and Fracture Toughness of Composite Material." *Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 126, pp. 295–304, 1990.

ŧ

- Tzeng, J. T. "Dynamic Fracture of Composite Gun Tubes." ARL-TR-1869, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1999.
- VanLandingham, M. "Durability Characterization of Polymer and Polymer Composite Systems." Ph.D. thesis, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 1997.
- VanLandingham, M. R., R. R. Dagastine, R. Eduljee, R. L. McCullough, and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. "Characterization of Nanoscale Property Variations in Polymer Composite Systems: Part 1: Experimental Results." Composites. Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, vol. 30, pp. 75–83, 1999.

- Varna, J., and L. A. Berglund. "Multiple Transverse Cracking and Stiffness Reduction in Cross-Ply Laminates." *Journal of Composites Technology and Research*, vol. 13, pp. 97–108, 1991.
- Varna, J., and L. A. Berglund. "A Model for Prediction of the Transverse Cracking Strain in Cross-Ply Laminates." Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites, vol. 11, pp. 708–718, July 1992.
- Verpoest, I., and F. Jones (editors). *Interfacial Phenomena in Composite Materials*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1991.
- Vishwanath, B., A. P. Varna, and C. V. S. K. Rao. "Friction and Wear of a Glass Woven Roving/Modified Phenolic Composites." *Composites A*, vol. 21, pp. 531–541, 1990.
- Voyiadjis, G. Z., and B. Deliktas. "A Coupled Anisotropic Damage Model for the Inelastic Response of Composite Materials." *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, to be published.
- Voyiadjis, G. Z., and R. Echle. "HCF Damage Evolution in UD MMCs Using a Micro-Mechanical Approach." *Mechanics of Materials*, vol. 30, pp. 91–110, 1998.
- Voyiadjis, G. Z., and T. Park. "Kinematics of Damage for Finite-Strain Elasto-Plastic Solids." International Journal of Engineering Science, vol. 37, pp. 803–830, 1999.
- Walrath, D. E., and D. F. Adams. "Fatigue Behavior of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin." Report No. NADC-78139-60, Naval Air Development Command, Contract NG2289-78-C-0340, Washington, DC, 1980.
- Wang, A. S. D., and F. W. Crossman. "Initiation and Growth of Transverse Cracks and Edge Delamination in Composite Laminates. Part 1: An Energy Method." *Journal of Composite Materials* (Supplement), vol. 14, pp. 71–87, 1980.
- Wang, Q., and F. P. Chiang. "Experimental Characterization of Interphase Mechanical Properties of Composites." *Composites, Part B*, vol. 27, pp. 123–128, 1996.
- Wang, T., T. Bogetti, and J. W. Gillespie, Jr. "Effects of Property Gradients on Non-Uniform Moisture Absorption in the Fiber/Matrix Interphase." 13th ASC Conference, Baltimore, MD, 21–23 September 1998.

- Whitney, J. M., Structural Analysis of Laminated Anisotropic Plates. Lancaster, PA: Technomic Publishing Co., 1987.
- Whitney, J. M., I. M. Daniel, and R. B. Pipes. Experimental Mechanics of Fiber Reinforced Composite Laminates. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1984.
- Wool, R. P. Polymer Interfaces. Munich: Hanser, 1995.
- Xia, Z. C., J. W. Hutchinson, A. G. Evans, and B. Budiansky. "On Large Scale Sliding in Fiber-Reinforced Composites." *Journal of Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 1139–1158, 1994.
- Zienkiewicz, O. C., and R. L. Taylor. *The Finite Element Method*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1989.

Appendix:

Material Performance Simulation Code MRLife

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1. Introduction

The MRLife simulation code¹ is developed by the Materials Response Group (Virginia Polytechnic Institute) for the simulation of performance and fatigue life prediction for composite laminates. The following summary of the code's capabilities is based on the MRLife11 User Manual.¹ As a result, this review is not intended to reflect the current development efforts at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.

MRLife11 is suited for fatigue analysis of a wide variety of problems. Such problems include delamination and failure of notched and unnotched materials with or without moisture diffusion. The effects caused by thermal loads, creep, stress relaxation and aging can also be accounted for in the analysis. The stacking sequence in a composite may vary and include up to 28 plies. This capability allows one to assess the fatigue performance of 56 plies in a thick symmetric laminate. The reduction in stiffness and strength of each ply is governed separately. The degradation rate equations involve polynomial functions that may be different for each ply

The continuum mechanics representation of stiffness change requires knowledge of the lamina phenomenological constants that characterize the intralaminar damage effects. The continuum damage mechanics parameters depend on the crack spacing evolution among other things. A power law approximates the crack density. Micromechanical calculations of the lamina properties may take into consideration the transversely isotropic properties of different fiber sizings.

2. Micromechanical Modeling

2.1 Evaluation of Mechanical Properties. A concentric cylinder (CC) model of Pagano and Tandon¹ is implemented to analyze the mechanical properties of a long fiber surrounded by a sheath of matrix material. The boundary of the outer cylinder is subjected to average strains ε_{ij}^0 . The composite stress field σ_{ij} is determined by the volume averaging of the stress field over the fiber and matrix. The effective elastic moduli are evaluated by setting the strain and expansion strain components equal to zero, excluding one strain component each time. The free-edge effects are not taken into account. The Halpin-Tsai equations provide another approximate scheme for

¹ Case, S. W., and K. L. Reifsnider. MRLife11 - A Strength and Life Prediction Code for Laminated Composite Materials. Materials Response Group, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1998.

determining the mechanical properties of each lamina along the fiber direction by using the rule-of-mixture approach.

The Young's modulus in the transverse direction can be evaluated by a number of models (e.g., Chamis's model, 2 Gibson's model, the Halpin-Tsai equations and the CC model). The shear modulus can be estimated by the models of Chamis, 2 Christensen, 3 Gibson, 4 Halpin and Tsai. 5 The axial Poisson's ratio is calculated by using either the rule-of-mixtures or the CC model. Thermal and environmental effects are taken into account via the expansion strain. The axial and transverse expansion coefficients, $\alpha_{1,2}$ and $\beta_{1,2}$ are evaluated by the CC model 2 and by the Schapery model. 1 The effectiveness of all models is demonstrated by comparing the results with the exact solution of Averill and Carman 1 for hexagonally packed fibers.

2.2 The Tensile Strength Models. The tensile strength of polymer matrix composites (PMCs) in the fiber direction is evaluated by the model developed by Gao and Reifsnider. The model is based on the probability analysis carried out by Batdorf. Batdorf considered N fibers surrounded by the matrix material. Damage in the composite system is assumed to involve only the fiber breakage characterized by so-called singlets, doublets, or i-plets. The fiber failures are assumed to conform to a two-parameter Weibull representation. The probability of failure is approximated by employing Reifsnider's formula for the reliability of a fiber having a linear stress variation. As a result, one may estimate the number of i-plets, Q, and construct a schematic diagram for several i-plets as a function of the applied stress. The envelope of intersection points formed defines the set of unstable fiber breakage that lead to the composite failure. The failure stress is given by the lowest load at which any unstable i-plet lies on the envelope formed.

The broken fibers induce stress concentration and interfacial debonding close to the fracture site. In the Gao-Reifsnider model, 1 the stress concentrations and the ineffective lengths for each group of adjacent fiber fractures are predicted by the shear-lag theory. The core of broken fiber(s) is flanked by a layer of unbroken fibers and the outer layer of a homogenized material with effective properties. It is assumed that the core of i broken fibers is approximated by a circular cross section with the Young's modulus determined by the rule-of-mixtures. The degree

¹ Case, S. W., and K. L. Reifsnider. MRLife11 - A Strength and Life Prediction Code for Laminated Composite Materials. Materials Response Group, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1999.

² Chamis, C. C. "Simplified Composite Micromechanics Equations for Strength, Fracture Toughness, Impact

² Chamis, C. C. "Simplified Composite Micromechanics Equations for Strength, Fracture Toughness, Impact Resistance and Environmental Effects." NASA Technical Memorandum 83696, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, OH, 1984.

Cleveland, OH, 1984.

Christensen, R. M. Effective Modulii of Cylindrical and Lamellar Systems. *Mechanics of Composite Materials*, pp. 73105. New York, NY: Wiley, 1979.

Gibson, R. F. *Principles of Composite Material Mechanics*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 1994.

Jones, R. M. Mechanics of Composite Materials. New York, NY: Hemisphere Publishing Co., 1975.

of interfacial debonding is defined by a shear parameter, which can represent complete fiber-matrix debonding and elastic-perfectly-plastic behavior. The ineffective length is defined as the distance required for the stress on the adjacent fibers to reach 95% of the far-field stress.

The tensile strength of PMCs in the fiber direction can be also evaluated by Reifsnider's model.¹ This model is also based on the Batdorf's analysis similarly to the Gao-Reifsnider model;¹ however, the failure criterion is modified. Another modification of the Gao-Reifsnider model involves some changes in the approximate geometry calculations and the effective stiffness of the core of broken fibers.¹ The tensile strength of ceramic matrix composites in the fiber direction is evaluated by the model developed by Curtin.¹ In this model, a ceramic matrix is reinforced with uniaxial fibers conforming to the two-parameter Weibull function. The initial elastic properties of the composite are estimated by the rule of mixtures. The load-bearing capacity of the composite is adjusted after matrix cracking and individual fiber breakage, depending on the fiber slip length.

2.3 The Compressive Strength Models. The compressive strength of PMCs in the fiber direction is evaluated by the model developed by Xu and Reifsnider. It employs a beam-on-elastic foundation model to consider the critical load for fiber microbuckling. Interfacial slipping of the matrix is taken into account in this model. The half-wavelengths of buckled fibers are determined by applying the minimum fiber buckling load condition. The cylindrical fibers are approximated by the square beams having the same cross-sectional area. The stiffness of the foundation is determined through an elasticity solution to a foundation model problem.

The compressive strength of PMCs in the fiber direction can be also determined by the model developed by Fleck and Budiansky.¹ This model takes into account the plastic deformation via kink bands. The Ramburg-Osgood relation is employed to model the material nonlinearity. In this model, effects of the initial misalignment and variations in the shear angle are accounted for. The limiting load for fiber crushing can be estimated by the rule of mixtures.

2.4 The Transverse and Shear Strength Models. The transverse tensile strength can be estimated by the model introduced by Gibson.² The model is based on the standard rule of mixtures, so it does not take into account the changes in fiber packing. More complex models,² which are also implemented, can simulate the effects of hexagonal and square packing. The inplane shear strength can be evaluated by the three analogous models suggested by Gibson.² The

Gibson, R. F. Principles of Composite Material Mechanics. New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 1994.

¹ Case, S. W., and K. L. Reifsnider. MRLife11 - A Strength and Life Prediction Code for Laminated Composite Materials. Materials Response Group, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1998

Gibson's family of models also provides an estimate for the transverse compression strength. It is also based on the rule of mixtures approach.

3. Methodology of Damage Analysis

In the damage models implemented, several important features of the complex physical behavior of composite systems are taken into account. The damage modeling is carried out for various fatigue loadings, including the influence of creep and aging. The combined effects of the loading conditions considered are characterized by using a set of damage accumulation concepts.

The transverse matrix cracking in laminate composites is described by Talreja's tensorial representation described in section 5.1. In MRLifell, delamination is assumed to be caused by the interlaminar stresses at a free edge. The strain energy release rate, G, for the interlaminar crack is estimated by a fracture mechanics approach in conjunction with the laminated plate theory. The estimate is independent of the delamination length, but it takes into account the thickness of the laminate. The O'Brien-Paris law is employed for the interlaminar crack growth from a delaminated edge under fatigue loading. This model for the interlaminar fatigue crack growth is similar to the Paris law for fatigue crack growth in metals.

To model accumulation of damage, MRLife11 employs a scheme developed by Reifsnider et al. 1 for composites under fatigue loading. It is first postulated that the damage can be characterized by the remaining strength, which is a function of the level of load and a generalized time. The equivalence between different fractions of fatigue life, which correspond to the same reduction in remaining strength under different loads, is also postulated. The remaining fatigue life at the load applied is determined by the amount of generalized time required to reduce the remaining strength to the applied load level. As a result, the effect of changes in loading may be taken into account by adding the respective reductions in remaining strength. Since the strength reduction curves may be nonlinear, the remaining strength and corresponding life prediction calculations are path dependent.

MRLife11 has an extensive library of failure criteria. Once an appropriate criterion for failure is chosen, the normalized remaining strength can be defined as an internal state variable for a damaged material system. The Kachanov's continuity function ψ is the second state variable related to the Helmholtz free energy. A specific damage accumulation process for a particular

¹ Case, S. W., and K. L. Reifsnider. MRLifell - A Strength and Life Prediction Code for Laminated Composite Materials. Materials Response Group, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1998

failure mode has its own damage kinetics, which is described by special rate equations (e.g., a power law, etc).

The damage kinetic equations require inputs about the evolution of damage and its effect on the failure of representative material elements. The concepts of representative "critical" and "subcritical" material elements are used to characterize the accumulation of damage within the composite laminate. The 0° plies in a cross-ply laminate represent an example of "critical" elements, while 90° plies represent "subcritical" elements on the ply level. The micromechanical effects can be also incorporated into such framework.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

NO. OF COPIES	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
2	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER DTIC DDA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL D D R SMITH 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	HQDA DAMO FDT 400 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL DD 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	OSD OUSD(A&T)/ODDDR&E(R) R J TREW THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CS AS (RECORDS MGMT) 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
1	DPTY CG FOR RDA US ARMY MATERIEL CMD AMCRDA 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001	3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI LL 2800 POWDER MILL RD
1	INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN PO BOX 202797 AUSTIN TX 78720-2797	4	ADELPHI MD 20783-1145 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND DIR USARL
Ī	DARPA B KASPAR 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714	4	AMSRL CI LP (BLDG 305)
1	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR CODE B07 J PENNELLA 17320 DAHLGREN RD BLDG 1470 RM 1101 DAHLGREN VA 22448-5100		
1	US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR OF EXCELLENCE DEPT OF MATHEMATICAL SCI MADN MATH THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786		

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CP CA D SNIDER 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145	1	COMMANDER US ARMY MATERIEL CMD AMXMI INT 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL OP SD TA 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145 DIRECTOR	2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR AE WW E BAKER J PEARSON PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL OP SD TL 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145	1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR TD C SPINELLI
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL OP SD TP 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD	1	PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000 COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC
2	ADELPHI MD 20783-1145 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL OP CI AD		AMSTA AR FSE T GORA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ
	TECH PUB BR RECORDS MGMT ADMIN 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197	6	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH A W ANDREWS S MUSALLI
1	HQDA DAMI FIT NOLAN BLDG WASHINGTON DC 20310-1025		R CARR M LUCIANO E LOGSDEN T LOUZEIRO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ
1	DIRECTOR DA OASARDA SARD SO 103 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103	4	07806-5000 COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CC G PAYNE
. 1	DEPUTY ASST SCY FOR R&T SARD TT RM 3EA79 THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100		J GEHBAUER C BAULIEU H OPAT PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH P J LUTZ PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSP A P KISATSKY PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSF T C LIVECCHIA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH C H CHANIN S CHICO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR QAC T C C PATEL PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	9	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH B P DONADIA F DONLON P VALENTI
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR M D DEMELLA F DIORIO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000 COMMANDER		C KNUTSON G EUSTICE S PATEL G WAGNECZ R SAYER F CHANG PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
	US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSA A WARNASH B MACHAK M CHIEFA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	6	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCL F PUZYCKI R MCHUGH D CONWAY E JAROSZEWSKI R SCHLENNER
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSP G M SCHIKSNIS D CARLUCCI PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	1	M CLUNE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000 COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR QAC T D RIGOGLIOSO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR SRE D YEE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	2	PEO FIELD ARTILLERY SYSTEMS SFAE FAS PM H GOLDMAN T MCWILLIAMS PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR WET T SACHAR BLDG 172 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000 COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC	6	PM SADARM SFAE GCSS SD COL B ELLIS M DEVINE R KOWALSKI W DEMASSI J PRITCHARD S HROWNAK PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
	SMCAR ASF PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC PRODUCTION BASE
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR WELF INTELLIGENCE SPECIALIST M GUERRIERE		MODERN ACTY AMSMC PBM K PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
	PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	3	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM TACTICAL VEHICLES
11	PROJECT MANAGER TANK MAIN ARMAMENT SYSTEMS SFAE GSSC TMA R MORRIS C KIMKER		SFAE TVL SFAE TVM SFAE TVH 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000
	D GUZOWICZ E KOPACZ R ROESER R DARCY R MCDANOLDS L D ULISSE C ROLLER	1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM ABRAMS SFAE ASM AB 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000
	J MCGREEN B PATTER PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000	1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM BFVS SFAE ASM BV 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000

NO. OF COPIES	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM AFAS SFAE ASM AF 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000	. 1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM GROUND SYSTEMS INTEGRATION SFAE GCSS W GSI R LABATILLE 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD
2	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM SURV SYS SFAE ASM SS T DEAN SFAE GCSS W GSI M D COCHRAN 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000	1	WARREN MI 48397-5000 COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM CHIEF ABRAMS TESTING SFAE GCSS W AB QT T KRASKIEWICZ 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000
1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM RDT&E SFAE GCSS W AB J GODELL 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000	1	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM AMSTA SF WARREN MI 48397-5000 COMMANDER SMCWV QAE Q
1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM SURVIVABLE SYSTEMS SFAE GCSS W GSI H M RYZYI 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000	14	B VANINA BLDG 44 WATERVLIET ARSENAL WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050 COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM ASMTA TR R
1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM BFV SFAE GCSS W BV S DAVIS 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000		J CHAPIN R MCCLELLAND D THOMAS J BENNETT D HANSEN AMSTA JSK S GOODMAN J FLORENCE K IYER
1	COMMANDER U S ARMY TACOM PM LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLES AMSTA TR S AJ J MILLS MS 209 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000		J THOMSON AMSTA TR D D OSTBERG L HINOJOSA B RAJU AMSTA CS SF H HUTCHINSON F SCHWARZ WARREN MI 48397-5000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	COMMANDER SMCWV SPM T MCCLOSKEY BLDG 253 WATERVLIET ARSENAL WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050	4	DIRECTOR US ARMY CECOM NIGHT VISION AND ELECTRONIC SENSORS DIRECTORATE AMSEL RD NV CM CCD R ADAMS R MCLEAN
10	BENET LABORATORIES AMSTA AR CCB R FISCELLA G D ANDREA M SCAVULO G SPENCER		A YINGST AMSEL RD NV VISP E JACOBS 10221 BURBECK RD FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5806
	P WHEELER K MINER J VASILAKIS G FRIAR R HASENBEIN	2	CDR USA AMCOM AVIATION APPLIED TECH DIR J SCHUCK FT EUSTIS VA 23604-5577
	SMCAR CCB R S SOPOK WATERVLIET NY 12189	1	U S ARMY CRREL P DUTTA 72 LYME RD HANOVER NH 03755
2	TSM ABRAMS ATZK TS S JABURG W MEINSHAUSEN FT KNOX KY 40121	1	US ARMY CERL R LAMPO 2902 NEWMARK DR CHAMPAIGN IL 61822
3	ARMOR SCHOOL ATTN ATZK TD R BAUEN J BERG A POMEY FT KNOX KY 40121	2	U S ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS CERD C T LIU CEW ET T TAN 20 MASS AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20314
2	HQ IOC TANK AMMO TEAM AMSIO SMT R CRAWFORD W HARRIS ROCK ISLAND IL 61299-6000	10	DIRECTOR US ARMY NATL GRND INTEL CTR D LEITER S EITELMAN M HOLTUS M WOLFE S MINGLEDORF
1	DIRECTOR U S ARMY AMCOM SFAE AV RAM TV D CALDWELL BUILDING 5300 REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898		H C ARDLEIGH J GASTON W GSTATTENBAUER R WARNER J CRIDER 220 SEVENTH STREET NE CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22091

NO. OF	ODC ANTZ ATION	NO. OF	ORGANIZATION
COPIES	ORGANIZATION	COPIES	ORGANIZATION
6	US ARMY SBCCOM SOLDIER SYSTEMS CENTER BALLISTICS TEAM J WARD MARINE CORPS TEAM	1	COMMANDANT U S ARMY FIELD ARTILLERY CTR AT FT SILL ATFS CD LTC BUMGARNER FT SILL OK 73503 5600
	J MACKIEWICZ BUS AREA ADVOCACY TEAM W HASKELL SSCNC WST W NYKVIST T MERRILL	1	CHIEF USAIC LTC T J CUMMINGS ATZB COM FT BENNING GA 31905-5800
	S BEAUDOIN KANSAS ST NATICK MA 01760-5019	1	NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS CMD J THOMPSON 48142 SHAW RD UNIT 5 PATUXENT RIVER MD 20670
1	US ARMY COLD REGIONS RSCH & ENGRNG LAB P DUTTA 72 LYME RD	1	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR DAHLGREN DIV CODE G06 DAHLGREN VA 22448
	HANOVER NH 03755	1	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR TECH LIBRARY CODE 323
1	SYSTEM MANAGER ABRAMS BLDG 1002 RM 110 ATZK TS LTC J H NUNN		17320 DAHLGREN RD DAHLGREN VA 22448
	FT KNOX KY 40121	3	NAVAL RESEARCH LAB I WOLOCK CODE 6383
9	US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE A CROWSON J CHANDRA H EVERETT		R BADALIANCE CODE 6304 L GAUSE WASHINGTON DC 20375
	J PRATER R SINGLETON G ANDERSON D STEPP D KISEROW	1	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR CRANE DIVISION M JOHNSON CODE 20H4 LOUISVILLE KY 40214-5245
	J CHANG PO BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211	. 2	COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR CADEROCK DIVISION R PETERSON CODE 2020 M CRITCHFIELD CODE 1730
1	DIRECTORATE OF CMBT DEVELOPMENT C KJORO 320 ENGINEER LOOP STE 141 FT LEONARD WOOD MO 65473-8929	2	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR U SORATHIA C WILLIAMS CD 6551 9500 MACARTHUR BLVD WEST BETHESDA MD 20817

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	DAVID TAYLOR RESEARCH CTR SHIP STRUCTURES & PROTECTION DEPARTMENT CODE 1702 J CORRADO BETHESDA MD 20084	1	NAVSEA OJRI PEO DD21 PMS500 G CAMPONESCHI 2351 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY ARLINGTON VA 22242-5165
2	DAVID TAYLOR RESEARCH CTR R ROCKWELL W PHYILLAIER BETHESDA MD 20054-5000 OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH	1	EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE DIV N85 F SHOUP 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20350-2000
1	D SIEGEL CODE 351 800 N QUINCY ST ARLINGTON VA 22217-5660	1	AFRL MLBC 2941 P STREET RM 136 WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7750
8	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR J FRANCIS CODE G30 D WILSON CODE G32 R D COOPER CODE G32 J FRAYSSE CODE G33 E ROWE CODE G33	1	AFRL MLSS R THOMSON 2179 12TH STREET RM 122 WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7718
	T DURAN CODE G33 L DE SIMONE CODE G33 R HUBBARD CODE G33 DAHLGREN VA 22448	2	AFRL F ABRAMS J BROWN BLDG 653
	NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS CMD D LIESE 2531 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON VA 22242-5160		2977 P STREET STE 6 WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7739
1	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE M LACY CODE B02 17320 DAHLGREN RD DAHLGREN VA 22448	1	AFRL MLS OL 7278 4TH STREET BLDG 100 BAY D L COULTER HILL AFB UT 84056-5205
1	OFFICE OF NAVAL RES J KELLY 800 NORTH QUINCEY ST ARLINGTON VA 22217-5000	1	OSD JOINT CCD TEST FORCE OSD JCCD R WILLIAMS 3909 HALLS FERRY RD VICKSBURG MS 29180-6199
2	NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR CARDEROCK DIVISION R CRANE CODE 2802 C WILLIAMS CODE 6553 3A LEGGETT CIR BETHESDA MD 20054-5000	1	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY INNOVATIVE CONCEPTS DIV R ROHR 6801 TELEGRAPH RD ALEXANDRIA VA 22310-3398

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT D SCOTT 3909 HALLS FERRY RD SC C VICKSBURG MS 39180	5	DIRECTOR LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATL LAB R CHRISTENSEN S DETERESA
3	DARPA M VANFOSSEN S WAX L CHRISTODOULOU 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714		F MAGNESS M FINGER MS 313 M MURPHY L 282 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
. 2	SERDP PROGRAM OFC PM P2 C PELLERIN B SMITH 901 N STUART ST SUITE 303 ARLINGTON VA 22203	7	NIST R PARNAS J DUNKERS M VANLANDINGHAM MS 8621 J CHIN MS 8621 D HUNSTON MS 8543 J MARTIN MS 8621
1 .	FAA MIL HDBK 17 CHAIR L ILCEWICZ 1601 LIND AVE SW ANM 115N RENTON VA 98055	1	D DUTHINH MS 8611 100 BUREAU DR GAITHERSBURG MD 20899 OAK RIDGE NATL LAB C EBERLE MS 8048 PO BOX 2009
2	FAA TECH CENTER D OPLINGER AAR 431 P SHYPRYKEVICH AAR 431 ATLANTIC CITY NJ 08405	1	OAK RIDGE TN 37831 OAK RIDGE NATL LAB C D WARREN MS 8039
1	OFC OF ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT U S DEPT OF ENERGY		PO BOX 2009 OAK RIDGE TN 37922
	P RITZCOVAN 19901 GERMANTOWN RD GERMANTOWN MD 20874-1928	4	DIRECTOR SANDIA NATL LABS APPLIED MECHANICS DEPT DIVISION 8241
1	LOS ALAMOS NATL LAB F ADDESSIO MS B216 PO BOX 1633 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545 OAK RIDGE NATL LAB		W KAWAHARA K PERANO D DAWSON P NIELAN PO BOX 969 LIVERMORE CA 94550-0096
_	R M DAVIS PO BOX 2008 OAK RIDGE TN 37831-6195	1	LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LAB M MURPHY PO BOX 808 L 282 LIVERMORE CA 94550

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
3	NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CTR MS 266 AMSRL VS W ELBER	1	GRAPHITE MASTERS INC J WILLIS 3815 MEDFORD ST LOS ANGELES CA 90063-1900
	F BARTLETT JR G FARLEY HAMPTON VA 23681-0001	1	ADVANCED GLASS FIBER YARNS T COLLINS 281 SPRING RUN LN STE A
1	NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CTR T GATES MS 188E		DOWNINGTON PA 19335
	HAMPTON VA 23661-3400	1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC D SHORTT
1	USDOT FEDERAL RAILROAD RDV 31 M FATEH WASHINGTON DC 20590		19105 63 AVE NE PO BOX 25 ARLINGTON WA 98223
1	DOT FHWA J SCALZI 400 SEVENTH ST SW 3203 HNG 32	1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC R HOLLAND 11 JEWEL COURT ORINDA CA 94563
	WASHINGTON DC 20590	1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC
1	FHWA E MUNLEY 6300 GEORGETOWN PIKE MCLEAN VA 22101		C RILEY 14530 S ANSON AVE SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670
1	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OTI WDAG GT W L WALTMAN PO BOX 1925 WASHINGTON DC 20505	2	COMPOSIX D BLAKE L DIXON 120 O NEILL DR HEBRUN OHIO 43025
1	MARINE CORPS INTEL ACTY D KOSITZKE 3300 RUSSELL RD SUITE 250 QUANTICO VA 22134-5011	4	CYTEC FIBERITE R DUNNE D KOHLI M GILLIO R MAYHEW 1300 REVOLUTION ST
1	NATL GRND INTELLIGENCE CTR DIRECTOR IANG TMT 220 SEVENTH ST NE CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22902-5396	2	SIMULA J COLTMAN R HUYETT 10016 S 51ST ST
1	DIRECTOR DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY TA 5 K CRELLING WASHINGTON DC 20310	1	PHOENIX AZ 85044 SIOUX MFG B KRIEL PO BOX 400 FT TOTTEN ND 58335

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	PROTECTION MATERIALS INC M MILLER F CRILLEY 14000 NW 58 CT MIAMI LAKES FL 33014	1	BATTELLE C R HARGREAVES 505 KING AVE COLUMBUS OH 43201-2681
3	FOSTER MILLER J J GASSNER M ROYLANCE W ZUKAS 195 BEAR HILL RD WALTHAM MA 02354-1196	2	BATTELLE NATICK OPERATIONS J CONNORS B HALPIN 209 W CENTRAL ST STE 302 NATICK MA 01760
1	ROM DEVELOPMENT CORP R O MEARA 136 SWINEBURNE ROW BRICK MARKET PLACE NEWPORT RI 02840	3	BATTELLE NW DOE PNNL T HALL MS K231 BATTELLE BLVD RICHLAND WA 99352 PACIFIC NORTHWEST LAB
2	TEXTRON SYSTEMS T FOLTZ M TREASURE 201 LOWELL ST WILMINGTON MA 08870-2941	J	M SMITH G VAN ARSDALE R SHIPPELL PO BOX 999 RICHLAND WA 99352
	JPS GLASS L CARTER PO BOX 260 SLATER RD SLATER SC 29683	1 2	ARMTEC DEFENSE PRODUCTS S DYER 85 901 AVE 53 PO BOX 848 COACHELLA CA 92236 ADVANCED COMPOSITE
1	O GARA HESS & EISENHARDT M GILLESPIE 9113 LESAINT DR FAIRFIELD OH 45014	4	MATLS CORP P HOOD J RHODES 1525 S BUNCOMBE RD GREER SC 29651-9208
2	MILLIKEN RESEARCH CORP H KUHN M MACLEOD PO BOX 1926 SPARTANBURG SC 29303	2	GLCC INC J RAY M BRADLEY 103 TRADE ZONE DR STE 26C
1	CONNEAUGHT INDUSTRIES INC J SANTOS PO BOX 1425 COVENTRY RI 02816	2	WEST COLUMBIA SC 29170 AMOCO PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS M MICHNO JR J BANISAUKAS 4500 MCGINNIS FERRY RD ALPHARETTA GA 30202-3944

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	SAIC M PALMER 2109 AIR PARK RD S E	1	PROJECTILE TECHNOLOGY INC 515 GILES ST HAVRE DE GRACE MD 21078
1	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87106 SAIC	1	CUSTOM ANALYTICAL ENG SYS INC
1	ATTN G CHRYSSOMALLIS		A ALEXANDER
	3800 W 80TH ST STE 1090		13000 TENSOR LN NE
	BLOOMINGTON MN 55431		FLINTSTONE MD 21530
1	AAI CORPORATION	2	LORAL VOUGHT SYSTEMS
	DR T G STASTNY		G JACKSON
	PO BOX 126		KCOOK
	HUNT VALLEY MD 21030-0126		1701 W MARSHALL DR GRAND PRAIRIE TX 75051
	IOIN HEDERT		GRAND PRAIRIE 1X /3031
1	JOHN HEBERT PO BOX 1072	5	AEROJET GEN CORP
	HUNT VALLEY MD 21030-0126	3	D PILLASCH
	HONT VALLET NID 21030-0120		T COULTER
12	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC		C FLYNN
	C CANDLAND		D RUBAREZUL
	C AAKHUS		M GREINER
	R BECKER		1100 WEST HOLLYVALE ST
	B SEE		AZUSA CA 91702-0296
	N VLAHAKUS	•	TENGET BIG
	R DOHRN	3	HEXCEL INC
	S HAGLUND		R BOE F POLICELLI
•	D FISHER		J POESCH
	W WORRELL R COPENHAFER		PO BOX 98
	M HISSONG		MAGNA UT 84044
	D KAMDAR		
	600 2ND ST NE	3	HERCULES INC
	HOPKINS MN 55343-8367		G KUEBELER
			J VERMEYCHUK
3	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC		B MANDERVILLE JR
	J CONDON		HERCULES PLAZA
	ELYNAM		WILMINGTON DE 19894
	J GERHARD	1	BRIGS COMPANY
	WV01 16 STATE RT 956 PO BOX 210	1	J BACKOFEN
	ROCKET CENTER WV 26726-0210		2668 PETERBOROUGH ST
	ROCKET CENTER W V 20/20-0210		HERDON VA 22071-2443
1	APPLIED COMPOSITES		
	W GRISCH	1	ZERNOW TECHNICAL SERVICES
	333 NORTH SIXTH ST		L ZERNOW
	ST CHARLES IL 60174		425 W BONITA AVE STE 208 SAN DIMAS CA 91773

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
2	OLIN CORPORATION FLINCHBAUGH DIV E STEINER B STEWART PO BOX 127	1	BOEING R BOHLMANN PO BOX 516 MC 5021322 ST LOUIS MO 63166-0516
	RED LION PA 17356	2	BOEING DEFENSE AND SPACE GRP
1	OLIN CORPORATION L WHITMORE 10101 9TH ST NORTH ST PETERSBURG FL 33702		W HAMMOND J RUSSELL S 4X55 PO BOX 3707 SEATTLE WA 98124-2207
1	DOW UT S TIDRICK 15 STERLING DR WALLINGFORD CT 06492	2	BOEING ROTORCRAFT P MINGURT P HANDEL 800 B PUTNAM BLVD
5	SIKORSKY AIRCRAFT G JACARUSO T CARSTENSAN B KAY S GARBO M S S330A J ADELMANN 6900 MAIN ST PO BOX 9729 STRATFORD CT 06497-9729	1	BOEING DOUGLAS PRODUCTS DIV LJ HART SMITH 3855 LAKEWOOD BLVD D800 0019 LONG BEACH CA 90846-0001
1	PRATT & WHITNEY D HAMBRICK 400 MAIN ST MS 114 37 EAST HARTFORD CT 06108	1	LOCKHEED MARTIN S REEVE 8650 COBB DR D 73 62 MZ 0648 MARIETTA GA 30063-0648
1	AEROSPACE CORP G HAWKINS M4 945 2350 E EL SEGUNDO BLVD EL SEGUNDO CA 90245	1	LOCKHEED MARTIN SKUNK WORKS D FORTNEY 1011 LOCKHEED WAY PALMDALE CA 93599-2502
2	CYTEC FIBERITE M LIN W WEB 1440 N KRAEMER BLVD ANAHEIM CA 92806	1	LOCKHEED MARTIN R FIELDS 1195 IRWIN CT WINTER SPRINGS FL 32708
1	HEXCEL T BITZER 11711 DUBLIN BLVD DUBLIN CA 94568	1	MATERIALS SCIENCES CORP B W ROSEN 500 OFFICE CENTER DR STE 250 FORT WASHINGTON PA 19034

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	NORTHRUP GRUMMAN CORP ELECTRONIC SENSORS & SYSTEMS DIV E SCHOCH 1745A WEST NURSERY RD MAILSTOP V 16 LINTHICUM MD 21090	2	GENERAL DYNAMICS LAND SYSTEMS D REES M PASIK PO BOX 2074 WARREN MI 48090-2074 GENERAL DYNAMICS
2	NORTHROP GRUMMAN ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS R OSTERMAN A YEN 8900 E WASHINGTON BLVD PICO RIVERA CA 90660	1	LAND SYSTEMS DIVISION D BARTLE PO BOX 1901 WARREN MI 48090 GENERAL DYNAMICS
1	UNITED DEFENSE LP PO BOX 359 D MARTIN SANTA CLARA CA 95052		LAND SYSTEMS MUSKEGON OPERATIONS W SOMMERS JR 76 GETTY ST MUSKEGON MI 49442
2	UNITED DEFENSE LP PO BOX 58123 G THOMAS SANTA CLARA CA 95052 UNITED DEFENSE LP	1	GENERAL DYNAMICS AMPHIBIOUS SYS SURVIVABILITY LEAD G WALKER 991 ANNAPOLIS WAY WOODBRIDGE VA 22191
3	MAIL DROP M53 R BARRETT V HORVATICH 328 W BROKAW RD SANTA CLARA CA 95052-0359 UNITED DEFENSE LP	5	INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED TECH T KIEHNE H FAIR P SULLIVAN W REINECKE
3	GROUND SYSTEMS DIVISION M PEDRAZZI MAIL DROP N09 A LEE MAIL DROP N11 M MACLEAN MAIL DROP N06 1205 COLEMAN AVE SANTA CLARA CA 95052	2	I MCNAB 4030 2 W BRAKER LN AUSTIN TX 78759 CIVIL ENGR RSCH FOUNDATION H BERNSTEIN PRESIDENT
4	UNITED DEFENSE LP 4800 EAST RIVER RD R BRYNSVOLD P JANKE MS170 T GIOVANETTI MS236	1	R BELLE 1015 15TH ST NW STE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20005 ARROW TECH ASSO 1233 SHELBURNE RD STE D 8
	B VAN WYK MS389 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55421-1498		SOUTH BURLINGTON VT 05403-7700

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	CONSULTANT R EICHELBERGER 409 W CATHERINE ST BEL AIR MD 21014-3613	1	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH DEPT OF MECH & INDUSTRIAL ENGR S SWANSON SALT LAKE CITY UT 84112
1	UCLA MANE DEPT ENGR IV H THOMAS HAHN LOS ANGELES CA 90024-1597	2	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV R MCNITT C BAKIS
2	U OF DAYTON RESEARCH INSTUTE RAN Y KIM AJIT K ROY		227 HAMMOND BLDG UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802
	300 COLLEGE PARK AVE DAYTON OH 45469-0168	1	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIV RENATA S ENGEL 245 HAMMOND BLDG UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16801
1	MIT P LAGACE	_	
	77 MASS AVE CAMBRIDGE MA 01887	1	PURDUE UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF AERO & ASTRO C T SUN
1	IIT RESEARCH CENTER D ROSE	1	W LAFAYETTE IN 47907-1282 STANFORD UNIVERSITY
	201 MILL ST ROME NY 13440-6916	1	DEPARTMENT OF AERONAUTICS AND AEROBALLISTICS
1	GEORGIA TECH RESEARCH INSTITUTE GEORGIA INSTITUTE		DURANT BUILDING S TSAI STANFORD CA 94305
	OF TECHNOLOGY P FRIEDERICH ATLANTA GA 30392	1	UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
1	MICHIGAN ST UNIVERSITY		COLLEGE PARK AVE DAYTON OH 45469-0240
	R AVERILL 3515 EB MSM DEPT	7	UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE
	EAST LANSING MI 48824-1226	,	CTR FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS
1	UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY LYNN PENN 763 ANDERSON HALL LEXINGTON KY 40506-0046		J GILLESPIE M SANTARE G PALMESE S YARLAGADDA
1	UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING D ADAMS PO BOX 3295		S ADVANI D HEIDER D KUKICH 201 SPENCER LABORATORY
	LARAMIE WY 82071		NEWARK DE 19716

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS		ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND
	AT URBANA CHAMPAIGN		COLOLANDED
	NATL CENTER FOR COMPOSITE	1	COMMANDER US ARMY MATERIEL SYS
	MATERIALS RESEARCH		ANALYSIS
	216 TALBOT LABORATORY		P DIETZ
	J ECONOMY 104 S WRIGHT ST		392 HOPKINS RD
	URBANA IL 61801		AMXSY TD
	ORBANA IL 01601		APG MD 21005-5071
3	THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS		74 G ND 21003 3071
5	AT AUSTIN	1	DIRECTOR
	CENTER FOR ELECTROMECHANICS	•	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
	J PRICE	•	AMSRL OP AP L
	A WALLS		APG MD 21005 5066
	J KITZMILLER		
	10100 BURNET RD	115	DIR USARL
	AUSTIN TX 78758-4497		AMSRL CI
			AMSRL CI H
3	VA POLYTECHNICAL INSTITUTE		W STUREK
	& STATE UNIVERSITY		AMSRL CI S
	DEPT OF ESM		A MARK
	M W HYER		AMSRL CS IO FI
	K REIFSNIDER		M ADAMSON
	R JONES		AMSRL SL B
	BLACKSBURG VA 24061-0219		J SMITH
	NORTH CAROLES COLORS		AMSRL SL BA
1	NORTH CAROLINA STATE		AMSRL SL BL D BELY
	UNIVERSITY CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPT		R HENRY
	W RASDORF		AMSRL SL BG
	PO BOX 7908		A YOUNG
	RALEIGH NC 27696-7908		AMSRL SL I
			AMSRL WM B
1	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND		A HORST
	DEPT OF AEROSPACE ENGINEERING		E SCHMIDT
	ANTHONY J VIZZINI		AMSRL WM BA
	COLLEGE PARK MD 20742		W D AMICO
			F BRANDON
1	DREXEL UNIVERSITY		AMSRL WM BC
	ALBERT S D WANG		P PLOSTINS
	32ND AND CHESTNUT STREETS		DLYON
	PHILADELPHIA PA 19104		J NEWILL S WILKERSON
•	COLUMN TO THE OWN THE THE THE		A ZIELINSKI
1	SOUTHWEST RSCH INSTITUTE ENGR & MATL SCIENCES DIV		AMSRL WM BD
	J RIEGEL		B FORCH
	6220 CULEBRA RD		R FIFER
	PO DRAWER 28510		R PESCE RODRIGUEZ
	SAN ANTONIO TX 78228-0510		B RICE

NO. OF

COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (CONT)

AMSRL WM MB

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (CONT)

E RIGAS

J SANDS

D SPAGNUOLO

W SPURGEON

J TZENG

E WETZEL

A ABRAHAMIAN

M BERMAN

A FRYDMAN

TLI

W MCINTOSH

E SZYMANSKI

AMRSL WM MC

J BEATTY

J SWAB

E CHIN

J MONTGOMERY

A WERESCZCAK

J LASALVIA

J WELLS

AMSRL WM MD

WROY

S WALSH

AMSRL WM T.

B BURNS

AMSRL WM TA

W GILLICH

T HAVEL

J RUNYEON

M BURKINS

E HORWATH

B GOOCH

W BRUCHEY

AMSRL WM TC

R COATES

AMSRL WM TD

A DAS GUPTA

T HADUCH

T MOYNIHAN

FGREGORY

A RAJENDRAN

M RAFTENBERG

M BOTELER

T WEERASOORIYA

D DANDEKAR

A DIETRICH

AMSRL WM BE

G WREN

CLEVERITT

D KOOKER

AMSRL WM BR

C SHOEMAKER

J BORNSTEIN

AMSRL WM M

D VIECHNICKI

G HAGNAUER

J MCCAULEY

B TANNER

AMSRL WM MA

R SHUFORD

P TOUCHET

N BECK TAN

DFLANAGAN

L GHIORSE

D HARRIS

S MCKNIGHT

P MOY

S NGYUEN

P PATTERSON **G RODRIGUEZ**

A TEETS

R YIN

AMSRL WM MB

B FINK

J BENDER

TBLANAS

T BOGETTI R BOSSOLI

L BURTON

K BOYD

S CORNELISON

P DEHMER

R DOOLEY

W DRYSDALE **G GAZONAS**

S GHIORSE

D GRANVILLE

D HOPKINS

C HOPPEL

DHENRY

R KASTE

M KLUSEWITZ

M LEADORE

R LIEB

NO. OF

COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (CONT)

AMSRL WM TE

A NIILER

J POWELL

AMSRL SS SD

H WALLACE

AMSRL SS SE R

R CHASE

AMSRL SS SE DS

R REYZER

R ATKINSON

AMSRL SE L

R WEINRAUB

J DESMOND

D WOODBURY

NO. OF	
COPIES	ORGANIZATION

- 1 R MARTIN
 MERL
 LTD
 TAMWORTH RD
 HERTFORD SG13 7DG
 UK
- 1 PW LAY
 SMC SCOTLAND
 DERA ROSYTH
 ROSYTH ROYAL DOCKYARD
 DUNFERMLINE FIFE KY 11 2XR
 UK
- 1 T GOTTESMAN
 CIVIL AVIATION ADMINSTRATION
 PO BOX 8
 BEN GURION INTERNL AIRPORT
 LOD 70150 ISRAEL
- 1 S ANDRE ,
 AEROSPATIALE
 A BTE CC RTE MD132
 316 ROUTE DE BAYONNE
 TOULOUSE 31060
 FRANCE
- 1 J BAUER
 DAIMLER BENZ AEROSPACE
 D 81663 MUNCHEN
 MUNICH
 GERMANY
- 3 DRA FORT HALSTEAD
 PETER N JONES
 DAVID SCOTT
 MIKE HINTON
 SEVEN OAKS KENT TN 147BP
 UNITED KINGDOM
- 1 MR FRANCOIS LESAGE
 DEFENSE RESEARCH ESTAB
 VALCARTIER
 PO BOX 8800
 COURCELETTE QUEBEC COA
 IRO CANADA

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 2 ROYAL MILITARY COLLEGE OF SCIENCE SHRIVENHAM D BULMAN B LAWTON SWINDON WILTS SN6 8LA UNITED KINGDOM
- SWISS FEDERAL ARMAMENTS
 WKS
 WALTER LANZ
 ALLMENDSTRASSE 86
 3602 THUN
 SWITZERLAND
- 1 PROFESSOR SOL BODNER
 ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF
 TECHNOLOGY
 FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGR
 HAIFA 3200 ISRAEL
- DSTO MATERIALS RSRCH LAB
 DR NORBERT BURMAN NAVAL
 PLATFORM VULNERABILITY SHIP
 STRUCTURES & MATERIALS DIV
 PO BOX 50
 ASCOT VALE VICTORIA
 AUSTRALIA 3032
- PROFESSOR EDWARD CELENS
 ECOLE ROYAL MILITAIRE
 AVE DE LA RENAISSANCE 30
 1040 BRUXELLE
 BELGIQUE
- 1 DEF RES ESTABLISHMENT
 VALCARTIER
 ALAIN DUPUIS
 2459 BOULEVARD PIE XI NORTH
 VALCARTIER QUEBEC
 CANADA
 PO BOX 8800 COURCELETTE
 GOA IRO QUEBEC CANADA

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 INSTITUT FRANCO ALLEMAND DE RECHERCHES DE SANIT LOUIS DE MARC GIRAUD RUE DU GENERAL CASSAGNOU BOITE POSTALE 34 F 68301 SAINT LOUIS CEDEX FRANCE
- 1 J MANSON
 ECOLE POLYTECH
 DMX LTC
 CH 1015 LAUSANNE SWITZERLAND
- TNO PRINS MAURITS LAB
 DR ROB USSELSTEIN
 LANGE KLEIWEG 137
 PO BOX 45
 2280 AA RUSWUK
 THE NETHERLANDS
- 2 FOA NAT L DEFENSE
 RESEARCH ESTAB
 DR BO JANZON
 R HOLMLIN
 DIR DEPT OF WEAPONS &
 PROTECTION
 S 172 90 STOCKHOLM
 SWEDEN
- 2 DEFENSE TECH & PROC AGENCY
 GRND
 MR I CREWTHER
 GENERAL HERZOG HAUS
 3602 THUN
 SWITZERLAND
- 1 MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
 RAFAEL
 DR MEIR MAYSELESS
 ARMAMENT DEVELOPMENT AUTH
 PO BOX 2250
 HAIFA 31021 ISRAEL
- 1 DR AKE PERSSON
 DYNAMEC RESEARCH AB
 PARADISGRND 7
 S 151 36 SODERTALJE
 SWEDEN

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- DIRECTOR
 HAUPTSTRASSE 18
 79576 WEIL AM RHEIN
 GERMANY
- 1 ERNST MACH INSTITUT EMI DR ALOIS STILP ECKERSTRASSE 4 7800 FREIBURG GERMANY
- 1 DR IR HANS PASMAN
 TNO DEFENSE RESEARCH
 POSTBUS 6006
 2600 JA DELFT
 THE NETHERLANDS
- DR BITAN HIRSCH
 TACHKEMONY ST 6
 NETAMUA 42611
 ISRAEL
- PROF DR MANFRED HELD
 DEUTSCHE AEROSPACE AG
 DYNAMICS SYSTEMS
 PO BOX 1340
 D 86523 SCHROBENHAUSEN
 GERMANY

Form Approved REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE OMB No. 0704-0188 s, searching existing data sources Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4307, and to the Office of Management and Budget. Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED 2. REPORT DATE 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) Final. Sep - Dec 98 June 2000 5. FUNDING NUMBERS 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Low Cycle Fatigue of Composite Materials in Army Structural Applications: A Review of Literature and Recommendations for Research 622618AH80 6. AUTHOR(S) Vasyl M. Harik, Bruce K. Fink, Travis A. Bogetti, J. Robert Klinger, and John W. Gillespie, Jr.* 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) REPORT NUMBER U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WM-MB ARL-TR-2242 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10.SPONSORING/MONITORING 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Center for Composite Materials, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Low cycle fatigue (LCF) of laminate composite structures used in Army applications is assessed to identify the key physical phenomena occurring during LCF processes and to determine their main characteristics. Special attention is given to the LCF conditions inherent in Army structures (i.e., high cyclic or pulse loads reaching up to 90% of the ultimate strength in a fraction of a second). A summary of fatigue-related issues in laminate composites employed in Army land combat systems is presented. Analysis indicates that finite strain rate effects are important under LCF conditions and the pulse vibration fatigue (PVF). Fatigue damage mechanisms, evolution patterns of damage, and damage accumulation processes are singled out and thoroughly analyzed as the key mechanical phenomena contributing to the changes in the material damage state and the property degradation under fatigue conditions. Possible correlation between ballistic and LCF performance is discussed. Various models for damage accumulation and fatigue life predictions are reviewed. Recommendations for fundamental research in the areas relevant to the LCF of composite structures are included to establish a conceptual framework for the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) LCF Program. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS low cycle fatigue, composites, laminates, PMCs 16. PRICE CODE 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT OF THIS PAGE OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED UL UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory underta the items/questions belo	akes a continuing effort to improve w will aid us in our efforts.	ve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to
1. ARL Report Numbe	r/AuthorARL-TR-2242 (Ha	arik) Date of Report June 2000
2. Date Report Receive	d	
		e, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be
-	the report being used? (Informat	ion source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)
		ative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs orate.
technical content, forma	it, etc.)	anged to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization,
	Organization	·
CURRENT	Name	E-mail Name
ADDRESS	Street or P.O. Box No.	
	City, State, Zip Code	
7. If indicating a Chang or Incorrect address bel		ion, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old
	Organization	
OLD ADDRESS	Name	
	Street or P.O. Box No.	
	City, State, Zip Code	
	(Remove this sheet, fold	as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)

(DO NOT STAPLE)